Conroe Independent School District Glen Loch Elementary 2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan



Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	3
Student Achievement	3
Culture and Climate	5
Parent and Community Engagement	7
Priority Problem Statements	9
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	10
Goals	12
Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels. Goal 2: School Leadership and Fiscal Responsibility CISD will foster the development of successful and dynamic leaders who effectively and efficiently manage their teams	13
and fiscal resources.	25
Goal 3: Recruitment, Development, and Retention of Staff CISD will recruit, develop, and retain a highly-qualified staff to ensure effective instruction for all students.	26
Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture, conducive to learning, by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the	20
values of our community.	27
Goal 5: Effective Instruction CISD will deliver meaningful instruction through objective-driven lessons and rigorous learning experiences using appropriate technology and instructional resources, and CISD will analyze data from ongoing formative assessments to foster the development of critical-thinking skills for all learners.	31
Γitle I	34
1. Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA)	35
1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment	35
2. Campus Improvement Plan	35
2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders	35
2.2: Regular monitoring and revision	35
2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language	35
2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards	35
2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education	35
2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk	36
3. Annual Evaluation	36
3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan	36
4. Parent and Family Engagement (PFE)	36
4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy	36
4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings	36
5. Targeted Assistance Schools Only	36
Campus Funding Summary	37

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Student Achievement

Student Achievement Summary

Glen Loch Elementary opened in 1980. There are currently 534 students enrolled. Glen Loch is a diverse campus in The Woodlands, Texas with the following demographics.

White - 37%

Hispanic - 45%

Black - 13%

Asian - 1%

Pacific Islander - .2%

Economically Disadvantaged - 45%

LEP - 26%

Special Education - 8%

STAAR Data

Grade 3 Reading English	Approaches	Meets	Masters
2019	81	54	36
2021	75	45	23
2022	79	55	40

Grade 3 Reading Spanish	Approaches	Meets	Masters
2019	82	62	32
2021	67	67	67
2022	70	40	20

Grade 3 Math English	Approaches	Meets	Masters
2019	86	55	33
2021	73	48	31
2022	72	46	30

Grade 3 Math Spanish	Approaches	Meets	Masters
2019	87	50	7
2021	67	56	22
2022	70	20	10

Grade 4 Reading English	Approaches	Meets	Masters
2019	75	51	30
2021	69	44	21
2022	82	66	44

Grade 4 Reading Spanish	Approaches	Meets	Masters
2019	73	36	14
2021	75	67	58
2022	75	63	38

Grade 4 Math English	Approaches	Meets	Masters
2019	84	60	37
2021	72	52	31
2022	78	56	39

Grade 4 Math Spanish	Approaches	Meets	Masters
2019	84	42	21

Grade 4 Math Spanish	Approaches	Meets	Masters
2021	62	38	31
2022	53	47	20

Student Achievement Strengths

- We made an "A!"
- 92 Overall Scale Score
- 4/5 distinctions (Closing the Gaps: 94 Scale Score | Reading | Comparative Academic Growth: 91 Scale Score | Post Secondary Readiness)
- 77% of student leaving kindergarten were reading on or above grade level expectations

Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): End of year BAS Reading Levels at Glen Loch are below Conroe ISD expectations for the end of the year. **Root Cause:** Students are not progressing reading levels due to an inability to decode and due to a gap in phonemic awareness, oral language, and background knowledge.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Students have trouble bridging from the concrete to the abstract in Math. Students need manipulatives and background knowledge to be successful in Math. **Root Cause:** Teachers need direct support in planning Math lessons with manipulatives to ensure solid, first time Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Teachers need additional support using Tier I best practices to help students close learning gaps to meet grade level expectations. **Root Cause:** There are 16 new to Conroe ISD teachers who will need a strong collaborative team with support of coaches and admin.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Teachers are not using multiple forms of informal data to track student progress. Research shows this is a high yield strategy and increases student achievement. **Root Cause:** A consistent grade level to grade level system of having students track their own learning was not monitored. The data will be analyzed during PLT for teams to problem solve to determine the reteach.

Problem Statement 5: Small group instruction was not consistently implemented in each classroom. **Root Cause:** The culture, routines and procedures were not established to provide the teacher the opportunity to successfully implement consistent and effective small groups.

Problem Statement 6: 0% (2021) to 25% (2022) of Special Education students met grade level expectations for reading; 6% (2021) to 19% (2022) met grade level expectations for math. **Root Cause:** Collaboration between SPED Teacher and General Education Classroom teachers during PLC and PLT needs strengthening.

Problem Statement 7: Students don't have the oral language and vocabulary to be successful readers and mathematicians. **Root Cause:** Lack of opportunity and exposure to academic language.

Problem Statement 8: Grade level and campus goals were not always in alignment. **Root Cause:** The buy in from teachers was inconsistent when campus goals were presented.

Culture and Climate

Culture and Climate Summary

The staff at Glen Loch Elementary value and appreciate cultural differences and we ensure that all students have a healthy, safe, engaged and supported environment. All staff and students are seen as important and play a role in our success. We are committed to adult and student social, emotional, behavioral and academic success. We are a PBIS campus using CHAMPS and Foundations as a positive and proactive approach to behavior.

The number of Students Achieving Excellence went from 431 in 2021 to 374 during the 2022 school year.

The number of Teachers Achieving Excellence went from 285 in 2021 to 306 during the 2022 school year.

In addition, the number of parent contacts recorded in View It increased from 2021 to 2022.

OHI survey results from 2019 - 2021 show a decrease in all dimensions. Through staff surveys, individual teacher talks and overall observations, this is most likely due to the Covid pandemic. Teachers were under a lot of stress throughout the year.

OHI Dimensions	2019	2021	2022
Goal Focus	53	25	22
Communication Adequacy	72	36	49
Optimal Power Equalization	57	27	35
Resource Utilization	42	23	19
Cohesiveness	56	39	47
Morale	47	31	31
Innovativeness	47	26	21
Autonomy	57	40	23
Adaptation	48	18	28
Problem Solving Adequacy	42	18	28
Average Score	53	28	30

Culture and Climate Strengths

- Communicating effective expectations and providing support.
- Foundations Teams help lay the foundation to schoolwide procedures. The team routinely collects data regarding procedures to ensure school safety.
- Relationships are being established through team building efforts, TAE's are being shared, and verbal positive feedback is being given.
- Giving teachers an opportunity to voice student concerns and as a team we work together for a common solution for students to be successful in the classroom.
- Teachers were trained on how to properly implement community circles to help create a positive classroom environment.

Problem Statements Identifying Culture and Climate Needs

Problem Statement 1: Students do not have the social skills needed to be successful in school. **Root Cause:** Students need emotional support to be able to be a good citizen in the school setting.

Problem Statement 2: The level of expectation considered high varies teacher by teacher leading to inconsistencies classroom to classroom. **Root Cause:** This has not been explicitly stated to the staff in a way that has led to implementation of high expectations across the campus.

Problem Statement 3: There was a high turnover rate (27 new staff members). **Root Cause:** High expectations of becoming a data driven campus that expected growth for every student.

Parent and Community Engagement

Parent and Community Engagement Summary

Parent & Community Engagement:

Summary:

- Communities in Schools Liaison
- Meet & Greet Your Teacher
- Parent Engagement and Informational Nights
- STAAR Parent Informational Night
- Monthly PTO Meetings
- PTO Holiday Breakfast
- Gator Run
- Field Day
- · Walk to School Day
- Glen Loch Spring Family Event
- Choir Concerts (2nd & 4th)
- PTO Parent Volunteers Car Rider Morning Duty
- Dancing Through Life Student Presentations
- Parent Portal
- LMS Canvas & SeeSaw
- Parent Smore Newsletter (sent out at least 2x month)
- School Website
- Holiday Parties
- Career Day Presentations (by our parents and community members)
- · Read for a Better Life
- Kids Hope Mentoring Program
- Monthly Glen Loch Nights at Local Restaurants or Outdoor Vendors
- Family Assistance Programs with Community Organizations (CISD Police, The Woodlands United Methodist Church, Church Project, Crossroads, Montgomery County Food Bank)
- Socio-emotional Family Presentation (Anxiety, Online Safety, etc.)

Parent and Community Engagement Strengths

- Active PTO that involves all stakeholders throughout the community.
- Using various forms of communication outlets to announce what's taking place at Glen Loch.
- Constant recruitment of volunteers that are used throughout the school year.

Problem Statements Identifying Parent and Community Engagement Needs

Problem Statement 2: The campus needs to focus on creating a more inclusive and welcoming environment that engages all families in critical aspects of students' learning. **Root**Cause: Plan community involvement opportunities after school to enhance parent involvement.

Problem Statement 3: Glen Loch Elementary only has a select few parents who are consistently involved. PTO meetings consist of the same parents. **Root Cause:** Lack of reaching out and creating strong family bonds with parents has an impact on creating an "it takes a village" family/school relationship.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 4: End of year BAS Reading Levels at Glen Loch are below Conroe ISD expectations for the end of the year.

Root Cause 4: Students are not progressing reading levels due to an inability to decode and due to a gap in phonemic awareness, oral language, and background knowledge.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 1: Students have trouble bridging from the concrete to the abstract in Math. Students need manipulatives and background knowledge to be successful in Math.

Root Cause 1: Teachers need direct support in planning Math lessons with manipulatives to ensure solid, first time Tier 1 Instruction.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: Teachers need additional support using Tier I best practices to help students close learning gaps to meet grade level expectations.

Root Cause 2: There are 16 new to Conroe ISD teachers who will need a strong collaborative team with support of coaches and admin.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 3: Teachers are not using multiple forms of informal data to track student progress. Research shows this is a high yield strategy and increases student achievement.

Root Cause 3: A consistent grade level to grade level system of having students track their own learning was not monitored. The data will be analyzed during PLT for teams to problem solve to determine the reteach.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Achievement

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Federal Report Card and accountability data
- RDA data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Observation Survey results
- Prekindergarten Self-Assessment Tool
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- State-developed online interim assessments
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data

- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- · Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- T-TESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices

Goals

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 1: Increase the percent of 3rd grade students that score at the meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading from 62% to 65%.

Increase the percent of 3rd grade students that score at the meets grade level or above on Spanish STAAR Reading from 40% to 45%.

Increase the percent of 4th grade students that score at the meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading from to 64.7% to 69.7%.

Increase the percent of 4th grade students that score at the meets grade level or above on Spanish STAAR Reading from 62.5% to 67.5%.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: District Common Formative Assessments, BAS levels, Pre and Post Campus Assessments, STAAR, mCLASS, QPS.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Develop teachers in the area of phonemic awareness and orthographic mapping through the Reading Academy	Formative			Summative
and Professional Learning, Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be able to decode at higher levels leading to increased BAS levels. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal RTI Interventionist ELA Coach ELA Teachers	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Achievement 4				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Utilize the district Reading Academy facilitators, district Instructional Coach and Campus Instructional Coach		Formative		Summative
to train, model, and support teachers in Read Aloud and Oral Language and Vocabulary. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 3rd and 4th graders will be able to analyze, think through and write on or above grade level. This will lead to a higher percent of Meets Grade Level on Reading STAAR. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal RTI Interventionist ELA Coach ELA Teachers	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals Problem Statements: Student Achievement 3				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Teachers will use the data from their running records regularly to determine when students can read fluently and comprehend text and are ready to move to the next level. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will move through the BAS levels fluidly. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal RTI Interventionist ELA Coach ELA Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Oct	Dec Dec	Mar	June June
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Provide tutorials during the school day, before school, and after school.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Academic performance in Reading will increase. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Funding Sources: Tutorial Program - ESSER III - \$61,083	Oct	Dec	Mar	June

Strategy 5 Details				
Strategy 5: Provide reading materials for students in the form of Classroom Collections, Bilingual Books, and books for	Formative			Summative
the Guided Reading Library.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will have more books to read to help build their stamina and be successful readers.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Reading Coach				
Funding Sources: Books - ESSER III - \$79,000				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		<u> </u>

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 3: Teachers need additional support using Tier I best practices to help students close learning gaps to meet grade level expectations. **Root Cause**: There are 16 new to Conroe ISD teachers who will need a strong collaborative team with support of coaches and admin.

Problem Statement 4: Teachers are not using multiple forms of informal data to track student progress. Research shows this is a high yield strategy and increases student achievement. **Root Cause**: A consistent grade level to grade level system of having students track their own learning was not monitored. The data will be analyzed during PLT for teams to problem solve to determine the reteach.

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 2: Increase the percent of 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Math from 46% to 51%.

Increase the percent of 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on Spanish STAAR Math from 20% to 25%.

Increase the percent of 4th grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Math from 55.6% to 60.6%%.

Increase the percent of 4th grade students that score meets grade level or above on Spanish STAAR Math from 46.6% to 51.6%.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Pre and Post Assessments, Common Formative Assessments, Universal Screener, Daily Math Review Quizzes, STAAR

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will understand math concepts at a concrete level leading to a higher rate of success on assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal RTI Interventionist Math Coach Math Teachers Title I:	Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will understand math concepts at a concrete level leading to a higher rate of success on assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal RTI Interventionist Math Coach Math Teachers Title I:	Strategy 1: Teachers will develop a deeper understanding of the TEKS through TEKS studies. Teachers will also bring	Formative Sum				
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college - Funding Sources: Math Coach - Title I - \$60,000	lessons to life during Professional Learning Team meetings and team planning. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will understand math concepts at a concrete level leading to a higher rate of success on assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal RTI Interventionist Math Coach Math Teachers Title I: 2.4 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college -	Oct	Dec	Mar	June	

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Use the district Math coach and campus Math coach to train, model, and support teachers as they implement		Formative		Summative
Guided Math. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Math instruction will be differentiated to meet each students' individual needs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal District Math Coach Campus Math Coach Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 3 Funding Sources: Campus Math Coach - Title I - \$60,000	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Core Team will analyze Interim STAAR data after the assessment is given in November and February.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The data will provide instructional information to teams and teachers to guide their work with students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Coaches	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Students will utilize Dreambox and math manipulatives to enhance students Math skills.		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Math performance will increase. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Coach Funding Sources: Dreambox and manipulatives - ESSER III - \$23,000	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: Students have trouble bridging from the concrete to the abstract in Math. Students need manipulatives and background knowledge to be successful in Math. **Root Cause**: Teachers need direct support in planning Math lessons with manipulatives to ensure solid, first time Tier 1 Instruction.

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 3: Teachers need additional support using Tier I best practices to help students close learning gaps to meet grade level expectations. **Root Cause**: There are 16 new to Conroe ISD teachers who will need a strong collaborative team with support of coaches and admin.

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 3: Kindergarten - 2nd Grade students at Glen Loch will meet or exceed their expected yearly progress when measured with the BAS Reading Assessment and Early Math Assessment at the end of the school year.

BAS

KG: 76% to 80%; KG Eco Dis 67% to 72%

1st Grade: 60% to 74%; 1st Grade Eco Dis 54% to 67% 2nd Grade: 66% to 76%; 2nd Grade Eco Dis 54% to 67%

Early Math Assessment

KG

Task 1: 90.48% to 93.48%

Task 2: 76.19% to 79.19%

Task 3: 88.57% to 91.57%

Task 4: 70.48% to 73.48%

Overall Numeracy: 90% to 93%; Eco Dis 86% to 90%

1st Grade

Task 1: 60.66% to 63.66%

Task 2: 62.30% to 65.30%

Task 3: 65.57% to 68.57%

Task 4: 72.13% to 75.13%

Overall Numeracy: 73% to 76%; Eco Dis 71% to 76%

2nd Grade

Task 1: 70% to 73%

Task 2: 81.13% to 84.13%

Task 3: 56.60% to 59.60%

Task 4: 30% to 33%

Overall Numeracy 89% to 91%; Eco Dis 83% to 86%

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Branching Minds

Core Team Learning Walks/Observation and Feedback Cycles

Small Group documentation

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 1: Small Group classroom instruction, Intervention and Enrichment time, RTI groups, and Learning Loss		Formative		Summative	
Intervention groups will be provided with fidelity. Student growth will be documented. The MTSS/Core Team will analyze data documentation once every 5 to 6 weeks using mCLASS, BAS, Early Math Assessment, Running Records.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The number of students ending the school year on reading level will increase.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All Staff					
Campus Interventionist					
Reading Coach Math Coach					
Maui Coacii					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
- ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1					
Funding Sources: Interventionist - Title I - \$29,600					
runding Sources. Interventionist - Title 1 - \$27,000					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Campus Instructional Coaches will provide support throughout each grade level's planning process.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Classroom instruction will become more rigorous. The number of students	Oct	Dec	Mar	ar June	
ending the year on reading level will increase. The number of students getting to Meets and Masters on Math					
and Reading STAAR will increase.					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Teachers at Glen Loch will be trained in the state Reading Academy.	Formative			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will become knowledgeable in the Science of Teaching Reading and will implement strategies learned in the classroom.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, District Reading Academy Facilitators, Campus Instructional					
Coach					
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1					
Funding Sources: 2nd Grade Teacher - ESSER III - \$70,000, 3rd Grade Bilingual Teacher - ESSER III -					
\$70,000					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 1: End of year BAS Reading Levels at Glen Loch are below Conroe ISD expectations for the end of the year. **Root Cause**: Students are not progressing reading levels due to an inability to decode and due to a gap in phonemic awareness, oral language, and background knowledge.

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 4: Teams will collaborate to develop a strong understanding of the TEKS and Scope and Sequence during PLT (2 weeks out). Teams will share best practices while modeling lesson delivery during Bring to Life Session (1 week out) resulting in an increase from 57% to 60% of students Meeting Grade Level or Above on STAAR (all subjects and languages).

Evaluation Data Sources: Through observation and feedback cycles the administrators and coaches will observe consistent implementation of rigorous lessons during PLT & BTL Sessions.

Strategy 1 Details					
Strategy 1: Teams will use the CISD Teaching and Learning Canvas courses as their primary resource for planning high		Formative		Summative	
quality instruction in all subject areas.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student achievement will increase.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal					
Assistant Principal					
ELA and Math Coaches					
Teachers					
Title I:					
2.4					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Teachers will begin to create 1 to 2 student exemplars during each planning cycle for Math and Reading.		Formative		Summativ
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The level of rigor during first time instruction will be lifted, leading to increased learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Coaches	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Title I: 2.4 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: General Education Teachers - State Comp Ed - \$73,754				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Individual weekly lesson plans written in eduphoria forethought before leaving each Friday afternoon. Plans	Formative			Summativ
will include: higher level questions, key vocabulary, sentence stems, learning objectives written in kid friendly words, and the exemplars written for the week.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The level of rigor in the classroom will increase leading to all students learning at higher levels. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Disco			

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 5: Each teacher will use multiple forms of informal and formal data collection to track student progress. Students will take ownership of their learning by using a tracking system in their classroom.

Evaluation Data Sources: During observation and feedback cycles, administrators and coaches will spot check student data tracking binders and ask them about their learning.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Teachers will progress measure their students every 4 - 5 weeks which will determine Intervention and		Formative		Summative	
Enrichment Groups in Reading and Math.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will take responsibility for their learning which will lead to an increase in Reading levels. Students will be able to articulate their growth.				0 1111	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Core Team					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Funding Sources: Intervention Resources and Materials - Title III - \$4,000					

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Students will track their own learning using age appropriate data tracking folders. Students will be able to	Formative			Summative
explain their data to others.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: When students track their own learning, they are using a skill called Self Reported Grades. According to John Hattie, "Self-reported grades is a practice by which students assess the quality of their own work or their level of mastery over a given objective. With an effect size of 1.33, it can provide up to three years of additional growth in learning for every year." In addition, Robert Marzano's Element #2 "Tracking Student Progress" provides research that students tracking their own learning is a high yield strategy.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal				
Counselor				
Community in Schools Liaison				
ELA and Math Coaches Interventionist				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	<u>I</u>	-

Goal 2: School Leadership and Fiscal Responsibility

CISD will foster the development of successful and dynamic leaders who effectively and efficiently manage their teams and fiscal resources.

Performance Objective 1: Maintain efficient and effective fiscal management of financial resources.

Evaluation Data Sources: Monitor budget and spending to ensure alignment to the Campus Improvement Plan.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Glen Loch Elementary will maintain a budget that enhances the learning of all students. The budget will		Formative		Summative
correlate with the Campus Improvement Plan.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Purchased items will directly correlate to goals and strategies tied to student achievement.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive				
School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 3: Recruitment, Development, and Retention of Staff

CISD will recruit, develop, and retain a highly-qualified staff to ensure effective instruction for all students.

Performance Objective 1: Recruit and develop highly qualified teachers and staff for all students.

Evaluation Data Sources: Staff and Student surveys

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Glen Loch will recruit and maintain highly qualified staff through investing in new hires, and student teachers	Formative			Summative
by aligning recruitment questions with our campus vision and through supporting all staff throughout the year.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus interview committee will review hiring practices and update questions regularly to align with campus needs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Core Team				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	ı	

Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture

CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture, conducive to learning, by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the values of our community.

Performance Objective 1: Students will develop self awareness and self management skills to achieve school and life success.

Evaluation Data Sources: The counselor and classroom teachers will use informal observations to monitor student self awareness and self management skills. Formal referral data will be used to monitor students who may be in need of additional instruction to help manage their emotions. (Students who have more than 3 referrals for the same behavior.)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			ws		
Strategy 1: Utilizing the Weekly Community Circle Google Slides (provided by counselor) classroom teachers will help			Summative			
students develop self awareness and self management skills to achieve school and life success. The campus counselor will model circles in classrooms and provide teachers with support.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will gain self management and awareness skills creating a safe school for all.						
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor						
Title I:						
4.1, 4.2 - TEA Priorities:						
Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:						
Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture						

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Small group counseling for students who are in need of additional support beyond what is taught by classroom	Formative			Summative
teacher. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students who receive small group counseling will gain the skills they need to be successful citizens. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: The clinic team will provide support to students and staff by providing information about staying healthy.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students and staff will remain healthy and attendance will increase.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Funding Sources: Nurse and Clinic Aide - ESSER III - \$24,244				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture

CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture, conducive to learning, by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the values of our community.

Performance Objective 2: Implement a positive campus wide approach to behavior through the implementation of PBIS CHAMPS and Foundations.

Evaluation Data Sources: The Foundations team will analyze attendance and discipline data and see a decrease in referrals with an increase in the attendance rate.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: The campus Foundations team will meet twice a month. They will revise the current campus Levels of		Formative		Summative
Behavior and continue observations of common areas. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The campus will run smoothly, students will understand campus expectations. Discipline referrals will decrease. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PBIS Team	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	atinue		

Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture

CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture, conducive to learning, by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the values of our community.

Performance Objective 3: Multiple methods of communication will be used to enhance parent engagement and partnerships with the school.

Evaluation Data Sources: The number of parents engaging with the campus will increase.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Use social media, school messenger, printed communication in the daily folder, teacher emails, students	Formative			
achieving excellence awards to increase the number of communications parents receive. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student attendance will increase. Student performance will increase. The number of parents engaging will increase. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Counselor Media Specialist Title I: 4.1, 4.2 Funding Sources: Family Engagement - Title I - \$3,520	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 2: Utilize the campus Community in School Liaison to increase communication and relationships with at risk		Formative		Summative
families. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student attendance will increase.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Community in Schools Liaison				
Title I: 4.1, 4.2				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	-1

Goal 5: Effective Instruction

CISD will deliver meaningful instruction through objective-driven lessons and rigorous learning experiences using appropriate technology and instructional resources, and CISD will analyze data from ongoing formative assessments to foster the development of critical-thinking skills for all learners.

Performance Objective 1: Teachers will provide a strong Tier I curriculum, monitoring and tracking student learning, planning in teams. They will participate in professional learning communities. 80% of students will show success after first time instruction.

Evaluation Data Sources: Formative assessments and district written common formative assessments will be given by the teachers to monitor and track student learning.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will maximize instructional time through consistent, efficient, and visible structures.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student achievement scores and reading levels will increase. Teachers will be fluent in implementing and using the CISD Environment Scope and Sequence. John Hattie's research indicates Classroom Management has an effect size of .35 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Core Team	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details Review			iews	
Strategy 2: Classroom teachers will use their teacher exemplar to compare the student work samples to identify the learning gap. This process will address the following question: "What does a student have to know and show to show mastery of the student expectation(s)?"		Formative Summa		
		Dec	Mar	June

Title I: 2.4, 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student achievement scores and reading levels will increase. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Core Team		
	 2.4, 2.5 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive 		

Goal 5: Effective Instruction

CISD will deliver meaningful instruction through objective-driven lessons and rigorous learning experiences using appropriate technology and instructional resources, and CISD will analyze data from ongoing formative assessments to foster the development of critical-thinking skills for all learners.

Performance Objective 2: Increase the amount of instructional technology occurring in each Kindergarten, First Grade, and Second Grade classroom.

Evaluation Data Sources: Use See Saw to monitor and evaluate progress.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Utilize the campus technology committee to plan professional development for the teachers. Kindergarten,		Formative		Summative
First and Second Grade teachers will utilize Chatterpix and See Saw as an instructional technology tool that will lead to increased oral communication and vocabulary development.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students oral language and vocabulary will increase leading to higher reading levels by the end of the school year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Core Team Technology Committee				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Title I

1. Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA)

1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment

The campus conducted an annual comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school, analyzing the academic achievement of all students and subgroups of students.

2. Campus Improvement Plan

2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders

The campus developed a CIP involving parents and other stakeholders such as teachers, the campus principal, paraprofessionals, and community stakeholders.

2.2: Regular monitoring and revision

The campus will regularly monitor the CIP and revise strategies based on our identified needs. Our core team will monitor the plan, including administrators, campus coaches, student support services coaches, RTI interventionists, and counselors. Once the Campus Improvement plan is complete, we will share it with staff and parents.

2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language

The campus ensures our Campus Improvement plan is publicly available to parents and the community (English and Spanish) on the CISD Website under Accountability and available upon request in hard copy.

2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards

The campus implements reform strategies to address school needs, including opportunities for all students and student subgroups to exceed academic standards. Staff and administration closely monitor grades and assessments to ensure students are on target to meet State standards. Students who are at risk of missing their targeted benchmarks receive support and small group instruction, including:

- RTI Instruction
- In Class Small Group Instruction
- Pull Out Support
- Push In Support

2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education

The campus executes strategies to increase the quality and amount of learning time available to strengthen the academic program in the school and provides students with an enriched and accelerated curriculum.

2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk

The campus will address all students' needs, particularly those at risk of not meeting academic standards. We closely monitor our at-risk students and work to ensure we are meeting their educational needs through:

- RTI Instruction
- Small Group Instruction
- Guided Math
- In Class Support

3. Annual Evaluation

3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan

The campus conducted an annual comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school, analyzing the academic achievement of all students and subgroups of students. Data included academic, social, and emotional reviews by stakeholders, including teachers (general and special education), administration, student support, and families. In addition, the campus explored the intent, use of funds, and available school resources. During formative reviews, the core team will evaluate the Title I Schoolwide Plan annually and throughout the school year.

4. Parent and Family Engagement (PFE)

4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy

With parents' input, the campus jointly developed a written Family Engagement Policy and School Family Student Compact. We also used our Parent Survey at the end of the year to make any needed changes to our Family Engagement Policy and School Family Student Compact.

4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings

The campus offers various family engagement activities, including flexible times and days of the week. In addition, the campus sends home information regarding family engagement opportunities and required notices in a format and language that families can understand. (English and Spanish).

5. Targeted Assistance Schools Only		

Campus Funding Summary

			Title I			
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount	
1	2	1	Math Coach		\$60,000.00	
1	2	2	Campus Math Coach		\$60,000.00	
1	3	1	Interventionist		\$29,600.00	
4	3	1	Family Engagement		\$3,520.00	
				Sub-Total	\$153,120.00	
Budgeted Fund Source Amount				\$153,120.00		
				+/- Difference	\$0.00	
			Title III	•		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount	
1	5	1	Intervention Resources and Materials		\$4,000.00	
	Sub-Total \$4,00				\$4,000.00	
			Bud	geted Fund Source Amount	\$4,000.00	
				+/- Difference	\$0.00	
			State Comp Ed			
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount	
1	4	2	General Education Teachers		\$73,754.00	
				Sub-Total	\$73,754.00	
			Budg	eted Fund Source Amount	\$73,754.00	
				+/- Difference	\$0.00	
	ESSER III					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount	
1	1	4	Tutorial Program		\$61,083.00	
1	1	5	Books		\$79,000.00	
1	2	4	Dreambox and manipulatives		\$23,000.00	
1	3	3	2nd Grade Teacher		\$70,000.00	
1	3	3	3rd Grade Bilingual Teacher		\$70,000.00	
4	1	3	Nurse and Clinic Aide		\$24,244.00	

			ESSER III		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
				Sub-Total	\$327,327.00
			Budge	eted Fund Source Amount	\$327,327.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
				Grand Total Budgeted	\$558,201.00
				Grand Total Spent	\$558,201.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00