

Campus Improvement Plan 2019-2020

Secondary Campus: York JHS

Rating: A

GOAL 1: STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT AND POSTSECONDARY SUCCESS

MASTER RIGOROUS ACADEMIC STANDARDS – STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, STUDENT PROGRESS, CLOSING PERFORMANCE GAPS and SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF HIGH SCHOOL

ACCOUNTABILITY

DOMAIN I: STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT - Evaluates performance across all subjects for all students on both general and alternate assessments; high schools include – College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR) indicators, and graduation rates.

DOMAIN II: SCHOOL PROGRESS - Measures district and campus outcomes in two areas:

Part A: Academic Progress – the number of students that grew at least one year academically (or are on track) as measured by Reading and Math STAAR results.

Part B: Relative Performance – the achievement of all students relative to districts or campuses with similar economically disadvantaged percentages.

DOMAIN II OBJECTIVES: Reading and Math, all students, seven racial/ethnic groups, ELL, SpEd, weighted progress

DOMAIN III: CLOSING THE GAPS - Uses disaggregated data to demonstrate differentials among racial/ethnic groups, socioeconomic backgrounds, and other factors. The indicators included in this domain align the state accountability with Every Student Succeed Act (ESSA).

Distinction Designations Earned: None



ALL STUDENT POPULATIONS

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: Providing additional resources for enrichment and remediation.

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION(S): York added an advisory period to the school day. We are using this thirty-minute period to provide addition help for students. Staff will also use this time to provide learning extensions for students as well.

READING/ELA

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: Ensure greater emphasis on close reading and critical analysis of both literary and informational texts.

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION(S): Small group instruction will be used to allow students access to texts that the appropriate level.

WRITING

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: Utilize Writer's Workshop to support the explicit teaching of writing at both grade levels.

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION(S): All ELA teachers will be trained in Writer's Workshop in order to guarantee the development of the writing process.

MATH

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: Ensure growth of students in level math classes while continuing to excel in all upper level math instruction.

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION(S): Support and facilitate the use of small group instruction and strategic pullouts to allow students access to help in weaker areas.

SOCIAL STUDIES

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: Emphasize the use of writing and primary source analysis.

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION(S): Students will complete Document Based Questioning and other academic level writing assignments to show knowledge at the analysis level of historical concepts.

SCIENCE

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: Students will be given opportunities to utilize more technology in order to deepen their understanding of scientific concepts.

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION(S): Science teachers will work closely with the technology coach to learn about and implement more academic technology in each classroom.

HEALTH

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: 80% of all students will meet a minimum of one Healthy Fitness Zone standard, as measured by the Fitness Gram assessment

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION(S):

Students will be provided with relevant information that encourages healthy lifestyles through nutrition and physical activity.

AT-RISK AND SPECIAL POPULATIONS

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION(S):- (Eco Dis, Dyslexia, GT, ELL, Immigrant, SpEd, Homeless)

- The campus will regularly monitor the CIP and revise strategies based on identified needs.
- The campus implements reform strategies to address school needs including opportunities for all students and student groups to exceed academic standards.
- The campus executes strategies to increase the quality and amount of learning time available to strengthen the academic program in the school and provides students an enriched and accelerated curriculum.
- The campus will address the needs of all students but particularly the needs of those students who are at-risk of not meeting academic standards.



POSTSECONDARY READINESS INDICATORS

POST-SECONDARY READINESS

Attendance rate will increase from 97.74% in 2018/19 to 98% in 2019/20.

Meet eligible campus Academic Achievement Distinction Designations (AADD)

50% of indicators will be in top quartile

Meet Top 25% in Student Progress Distinction Designations

Top quartile of campus comparison group in performance for student progress

Meet Top 25% in Closing Performance Gaps Distinction Designations

Top quartile of campus comparison group in performance for closing performance gaps

Meet eligible Postsecondary Readiness Distinction Designations

50% of indicators will be in top quartile

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE:

Teachers will work towards moving more students to Master's in two or more content area tests.

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION(S):

With higher level questioning, formative assessment data, and scaffolding, teachers will go deeper in to the content to move students to the analysis level.

FINANCIAL RESOURCES

General Operating, Elementary and Secondary State Compensatory Education Funds Title Funds IDEA, Part B Bilingual Allotment Funds Gifted and Talented Allotment Funds

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

- Teacher Content Leadership Teams and District and Campus Instructional Coaches
- <u>Curriculum:</u> CISD Instructional Model, CISD Reads, CISD Solves, CISD Investigates, CISD Remembers, CISD English Language Acquisition Model for Bilingual Education Programs, Gifted & Talented, RtI, Dyslexia Services
- <u>Assessment:</u> STAAR, STAAR Alt 2, TELPAS, TELPAS Alt, District Benchmark Results, District Curriculum Checkpoints, Campus Common Assessments, Universal Screeners, BAS, DRA, OS, QPS, SAT, ACT, CogAT, IOWA, Logramos
- <u>Systems:</u> View It, Eduphoria, Dashboard, Curriculum Heat Maps, Campus Improvement Plans, Road Trip Nation Professional learning opportunities provided throughout the year.



FORMATIVE EVALUATIONS

NOVEMBER, JANUARY

Item analysis and data disaggregation of Benchmark assessments

CISD Benchmarks at passing rate

Staff Development: track number attending per campus; target for specific campus needs

SUMMATIVE EVALUATIONS

JULY

TEA Accountability Summary, TAPR, PBMAS, AMAOs, DVM, Special Education Evaluation

PROJECT MANAGERS

- Principal
- Assistant Principal

Goal 2: RECRUITMENT, DEVELOPMENT, AND RETENTION OF STAFF

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: To recruit, retain and develop highly qualified teachers and staff for all students.

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION(S):

- Numerous activities within the building to bring collaboration and a sense of family.
- Teachers' lunches provided once a month.
- Grizzly of the Week award to honor a staff member that is going above and beyond their traditional job scope.
- Participation in the job fair hosted by CISD yearly.

Financial ResourcesCampus budget, Teacher Activity Fund, Title III **Additional Resources**Position Control Reports, Allocation Reports

Monitoring TimelineNovember, January, MarchFormative EvaluationHQ data from Human Resources

Summative Evaluation TAPR, HQ Report to TEA

Project Managers Principal, Assistant Principal

Goal 3: PARENTS AND COMMUNITY

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: To work jointly with parents and the community to maximize learning for all students through communication, collaborative partnerships and unity of purpose.

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION(S):

- In October, we host a school/community carnival called Yorkapalooza.
- We also host two student celebrations every month to celebrate both students a parents. One of the celebrations is called Breakfast of Champions, which celebrates student success academically. The other celebration is called Grizzlies for Greatness, which celebrates students' display of character.

Financial Resources Campus budget, Title Funds

Additional Resources Campus Key Communicators, Translation services, Local media, Safe Schools Plan, Technology

Monitoring Timeline Formative - November, January; Summative - July

Formative Evaluation Record of contact with media, Record of press releases, Record of campus communication, Website information is current and accurate

Summative Evaluation Meet Performance Indicators for Campus

Project Manager Principal



Goal 4: SAFE SCHOOLS

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: To provide a safe and orderly school environment conducive to learning for all students and staff.

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION(S):

- We conduct fire drills monthly, lockout drills twice a year, and lockdown drills twice a year.
- We have also been given a few additional cameras to help visual hall coverage.
- We actively participate in the Foundations program as a campus and have been recognized within the district for our arrival and dismissal procedures.

Financial Resources Campus budget

Additional Resources Emergency operations plan

Monitoring Timeline Formative- November, January; Summative - July

Formative Evaluation Record of programs/presentations/trainings for students and staff, Quarterly reports of police activity and safety drills for campus

Summative Evaluation Clean safety audit

Project Manager(s): Principal, Assistant Principal

Goal 5: TECHNOLOGY

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE: To ensure that all students and staff utilize technology to maximize learning for all students and to enhance the educational practices of teachers.

STRATEGY DESCRIPTION(S):

- Build teacher capacity to fully implement technology in instruction.
- Provide staff development opportunities for the effective use of current tools of technology for teaching and learning.
- Relate technology staff development to specific instructional objectives.
- Increase the utilization of Canvas LMS as an extension of the classroom.

Financial Resources Campus budget, Title Funds

Additional Resources Technology staff

Monitoring Timeline Formative - November, January; Summative - July

Formative Evaluation Records of professional development in technology, Campus and District Technology Plans align with strategies

Summative Evaluation Meet Performance Indicators for STaR Report

Project Manager(s): Principal, Assistant Principal, Technology Liaison



STATE AND FEDERAL RESOURCES ALLOCATED

2019-2020 School Year

SCE

Strategy	Activity	Funds	FTE
		Budgeted	
Intervention Programs	Instructional Support for At-Risk Students	\$178,278.00	3.07
Tutorials	Academic Tutorials for At-Risk Students	\$500.00	0.01
Classroom Libraries	Instructional Materials for At-Risk Students	\$1,500.00	0.00
Imagine Learning	Technology	\$8,000.00	0.00
	TOTAL SCE	\$188,278.00	3.08

TITLE III EL

Strategy	Activity	Funds	FTE
		Budgeted	
ESL Tutorials	Academic Tutorials	\$1,500.00	0.03
E-Readers	Technology	\$500.00	0.00
Classroom Libraries	Books	\$500.00	0.00
Imagine Learning	Instructional Materials	\$500.00	0.00
	TOTAL Title III EL	\$3,000.00	0.03



Data Summary Report 2019-2020

Secondary Campus: York JHS

ACCOUNTABILITY

DOMAIN I – STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

2018-2019 Performance: 2019-2020 Goals:

90% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards92% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards68% scored Meets Grade Level Standards71% will score Meets Grade Level Standards42% scored Masters Grade Level Standards45% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

DOMAIN II – SCHOOL PROGRESS

2018-2019 Performance: 2019-2020 Goals:

78% Met Expected or Accelerated Growth Measure 82% Will meet Expected or Accelerated Growth Measure

DOMAIN III – CLOSING THE GAPS

ALL STUDENTS - ALL SUBJECTS

2018-2019 Performance: 2019-2020 Goals:

Economically Disadvantaged Students Economically Disadvantaged Students

<u>81</u>% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards <u>84</u>% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

<u>50</u>% scored Meets Grade Level Standards <u>53</u>% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

21% scored Masters Grade Level Standards 25% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: Special Education Focus Student Group: Special Education

<u>50</u>% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards <u>55</u>% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards <u>30</u>% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

7% scored Masters Grade Level Standards 10% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: African American Focus Student Group: African American

83% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards 86% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

55% scored Meets Grade Level Standards 58% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

30% scored Masters Grade Level Standards 33% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

READING/ELA

2018-2019 Performance: 2019-2020 Goals:

Economically Disadvantaged Students Economically Disadvantaged Students

<u>81</u>% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards <u>85</u>% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

<u>47</u>% scored Meets Grade Level Standards <u>50</u>% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

<u>26</u>% scored Masters Grade Level Standards <u>30</u>% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: Special Education Focus Student Group: Special Education

45% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards 50% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

30% scored Meets Grade Level Standards 33% will score Meets Grade Level Standards 5% scored Masters Grade Level Standards 8% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: African American Focus Student Group: African American

84% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards 88% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

59% scored Meets Grade Level Standards 62% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

33% scored Masters Grade Level Standards 36% will score Masters Grade Level Standards



WRITING

	ormance:

Economically Disadvantaged Students

68% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards

34% scored Meets Grade Level Standards

7% scored Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: Special Education

32% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards

<u>15</u>% scored Meets Grade Level Standards <u>3</u>% scored Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: African American

75% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards

37% scored Meets Grade Level Standards

15% scored Masters Grade Level Standards

2019-2020 Goals:

Economically Disadvantaged Students

71% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

36% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

10% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: Special Education

35% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

18% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

<u>6</u>% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: African American

78% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

40% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

18% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

MATH

2018-2019 Performance:

Economically Disadvantaged Students

84% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards

56% scored Meets Grade Level Standards

20% scored Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: Special Education

50% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards

32% scored Meets Grade Level Standards

10% scored Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: African American

84% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards

59% scored Meets Grade Level Standards

31% scored Masters Grade Level Standards

2019-2020 Goals:

Economically Disadvantaged Students

86% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

58% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

23% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: Special Education

52% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

35% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

12% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: African American

86% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

62% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

33% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

SOCIAL STUDIES

2018-2019 Performance:

Economically Disadvantaged Students

79% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards

38% scored Meets Grade Level Standards

20% scored Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: Special Education

63% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards

<u>17</u>% scored Meets Grade Level Standards <u>4</u>% scored Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: African American

82% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards

50% scored Meets Grade Level Standards

30% scored Masters Grade Level Standards

2019-2020 Goals:

Economically Disadvantaged Students

82% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

41% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

23% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: Special Education

65% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

20% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

7% will score Masters Grade Level Standards

Focus Student Group: African American

85% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards

53% will score Meets Grade Level Standards

32% will score Masters Grade Level Standards



SCIENCE

2018-2019 Performance:	2019-2020 Goals:	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	Economically Disadvantaged Students	
92% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards	95% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards	
69% scored Meets Grade Level Standards	72% will score Meets Grade Level Standards	
26% scored Masters Grade Level Standards	29% will score Masters Grade Level Standards	
Focus Student Group: Special Education	Focus Student Group: Special Education	
71% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards	75% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards	
33% scored Meets Grade Level Standards	35% will score Meets Grade Level Standards	
13% scored Masters Grade Level Standards	15% will score Masters Grade Level Standards	
Focus Student Group: African American	Focus Student Group: African American	
92% scored Approaches Grade Level Standards	95% will score Approaches Grade Level Standards	
68% scored Meets Grade Level Standards	71% will score Meets Grade Level Standards	
40% scored Masters Grade Level Standards	42% will score Masters Grade Level Standards	

Federal Accountability: Closing the Gaps

Support Area	District Targeted Support	Campus Targeted Support
Achievement Reading		
Achievement Math		
Growth Reading		
Growth Math	American Indian, Economically	
	Disadvantaged, Special Education	
Student Success		
Graduation	Hispanic, White, Economically	
	Disadvantaged, English Learners	
	(Current/Monitored), Special Education	
School Quality		
STAAR Only		
English Language Proficient	English Learners (Current/Monitored)	

NON-DISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

The Conroe Independent School District is an equal opportunity employer and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, or disability in employment matters, in its admissions policies, or by excluding from participation in, denying access to, or denying the benefits of district services, academic and/or vocational and technology programs, or activities as required by Title VI and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, the First Amendment of the United States Constitution, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

For information about Title IX rights, contact the Title IX Coordinator, 3205 W. Davis, Conroe, Texas 77304; (936) 709-7700. For information about Section 504/ADA rights, contact the Section 5048ADA Coordinator, 3205 W. Davis, Conroe, Texas 77304; (936) 709-7670.