Conroe Independent School District Stockton Junior High School 2023-2024 Improvement Plan



Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	3
Student Achievement	3
Culture and Climate	5
Parent and Community Engagement	7
Priority Problem Statements	8
Goals	9
Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.	9
Goal 2: School Leadership and Fiscal Responsibility CISD will foster the development of successful and dynamic leaders who effectively and efficiently manage their teams and fiscal resources.	25
Goal 3: Recruitment, Development, and Retention of Staff CISD will recruit, develop, and retain a highly-qualified staff to ensure effective instruction for all students.	28
Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture, conducive to learning, by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the values of our community.	g 30
community. Coal 5: Effective Instruction CISD will delive magningful instruction through abjective driven leasure and rise reversing comming a grant microscopic technology and	30
Goal 5: Effective Instruction CISD will deliver meaningful instruction through objective-driven lessons and rigorous learning experiences using appropriate technology and instructional resources, and CISD will analyze data from ongoing formative assessments to foster the development of critical-thinking skills for all learners.	34
State Compensatory	36
Budget for Stockton Junior High School	36
Personnel for Stockton Junior High School	36
Campus Funding Summary	37

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Student Achievement

Student Achievement Summary

At Stockton Junior High School, we will develop in our students the knowledge, skills, and attitudes that are necessary for lifelong success.

We will create a learning-centered community where we support all students by creating a safe and supportive environment where we celebrate unity in diversity through building positive self-concepts through a shared educational decision-making process.

We continue to utilize Summit K-12 to help grow our LEP students and consistently maintain a high usage of student minutes of use.

Student Achievement Strengths

Students in 7th & 8th grade Language Arts had masters level of 27% and a meets level of 59%.

Students in 7th & 8th grade Mathematics had masters level of 15% and a meets level of 45%.

Students in 8th grade Science had masters level of 15% and a meets level of 44%.

Students in 8th grade Social Studies had masters level of 11% and a meets level of 24%.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1: In all core subject areas, the Hispanic population did not meet grade-level standards in Meets or Masters. **Root Cause:** Students struggle with comprehension and vocabulary.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): In all core curriculum, our Special Ed population did not meet growth standards. **Root Cause:** Students struggle with comprehension and vocabulary.

Problem Statement 3: In eighth grade Science, students did not meet growth standards and showed a deficit from a previous years goals. **Root Cause:** Students struggled with analyzing and predicting future trends of charts and graphs.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): In ELA, Special education and Hispanic students did not meet grade-level standards in Meets or Masters. **Root Cause:** Students struggle with comprehension, grade-level text, and real contextual vocabulary.

Problem Statement 5: In seventh grade Math, students did not meet growth standards in Meets and Masters. **Root Cause:** Students struggled with fluency along with content and context lacking in rigor.

Problem Statement 6: In eight grade Math, students did not meet growth standards in Meets and Masters. Root Cause: Instruction in content and context lack rigor.

Problem Statement 7: Increase the percentage of students that are high school ready from 37% to 47% in English and from 21% to 29% in Math. **Root Cause:** Lack of knowledge concerning high school ready and how to close academic gaps.

Problem Statement 8: Students of two or more races did not meet standards for growth in closing the gaps of 56, SJH made a 54. **Root Cause:** Instructional Rigor and content experience.

Culture and Climate

Culture and Climate Summary

At Stockton Jr High, we recognize and appreciate cultural differences and work to bring awareness to all stakeholders. All students and personnel are valued members of our unique family, each playing a significant role in our success.

Stockton Jr High encourages staff and students to embrace what makes us different. Stockton Jr High is committed to the social, emotional, behavioral, and academic success of all students.

At Stockton Jr High, students work toward successfully managing their emotions, behaviors, and make responsible decisions. Stockton Jr High is proactive in keeping campus, staff, and students safe, as well as, taking a positive proactive instructional approach to behavior utilizing PBIS CHAMPS/Foundations training.

We will continue to create positive experiences for our students to broaden perspectives and socially prepare them for future success.

Culture and Climate Strengths

At Stockton Jr High, hiring campus personnel will reflect the cultural and diverse student body as a priority.

"All Means All" at Stockton Jr High, making every student's academic, social, and emotional needs a priority.

At Stockton Jr High, students will develop a healthy sense of self and social awareness, embrace diversity with empathy and respect for others, successfully manage their emotions, behaviors, and make responsible decisions.

Stockton Jr High continues to promote school safety through Safe Schools training's and utilizes mitigation strategies to keep our schools, students, and staff safe.

Problem Statements Identifying Culture and Climate Needs

Problem Statement 1: Although we have established a "stow and go" policy for cell phone usage, we still see disciplinary referrals for student violations of this policy. **Root Cause:** Inconsistencies in cell phone policy create misunderstandings of student expectations of proper cell phone usage.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Campus inconsistency in agreed upon policy and infrastructure and what is agreed upon by foundations committee. **Root Cause:** Lack of clearly defined teacher and student expectations.

Problem Statement 3: Campus expectations for staff can be inconsistent at times. consistent efforts.	Root Cause: Through foundations and agreed-upon administrative policy t	o enforce more
Stockton Junior High School	6 of 27	ovember 6, 2022, 2:26 DN

Parent and Community Engagement

Parent and Community Engagement Summary

Parents and communities are essential components to a child's successful educational experience. Stockton Jr High is committed to strengthening the partnership between schools and home. Parents are encouraged to be an active participant in the education of their child.

Families are encouraged to keep in close two-way communication with the school. Some examples include reviewing a child's school work in Canvas, campus newsletters, and notices from the school. Parents are encouraged to contact the teacher or school office when questions arise.

Stockton Jr High will continue to explore opportunities to better meet the needs of students through gaining key partners by providing opportunities for input and active engagement within the school.

Stockton Jr High will maintain the on-campus Parent Engagement Liaison to bridge the communication gap between Hispanic parents and campus staff.

Parent and Community Engagement Strengths

Parent liaison was hired to communicate with our Spanish speaking parents.

Parent help sessions for technical support.

Communication to parents via emails, principal and Learning Communities newsletters, phone calls, and website suggestion box.

Communication via Stockton SMORE Newsletter, Twitter, Facebook and School Messenger.

Problem Statements Identifying Parent and Community Engagement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Due to a high number of Spanish speaking parents, there is a communication gap that exists between the parents and school. **Root Cause:** A lack of policies and procedures in communication to our Spanish speaking parents.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Parents lack a sense of community with campus initiatives. **Root Cause:** The campus needs to provide outreach opportunities for parental engagement.

Problem Statement 3: Campus needs to improve their efforts through communication. **Root Cause:** Through the use of campus newsletters (S'more), Remind, and Parent Messenger.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: In all core curriculum, our Special Ed population did not meet growth standards.

Root Cause 1: Students struggle with comprehension and vocabulary.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: In ELA, Special education and Hispanic students did not meet grade-level standards in Meets or Masters.

Root Cause 2: Students struggle with comprehension, grade-level text, and real contextual vocabulary.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 3: Campus inconsistency in agreed upon policy and infrastructure and what is agreed upon by foundations committee.

Root Cause 3: Lack of clearly defined teacher and student expectations.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 4: Parents lack a sense of community with campus initiatives.

Root Cause 4: The campus needs to provide outreach opportunities for parental engagement.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 5: Due to a high number of Spanish speaking parents, there is a communication gap that exists between the parents and school.

Root Cause 5: A lack of policies and procedures in communication to our Spanish speaking parents.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Parent and Community Engagement

Goals

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 1: 7th Grade grade Hispanic students will grow from 35% to 38% Meets on STAAR math.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CFA

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Provide students with small group instruction.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student knowledge and understanding by bridging academic gaps in learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Instructional Coaches Math Teachers In-class support staff Problem Statements: Student Achievement 4 - Parent and Community Engagement 1	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Students will be provided additional academic support through enrichment classes designed to fill in learning gaps.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Hispanic students will show academic growth of 5% on the STAAR Math test. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Enrichment Coach Math Enrichment Teachers In-class support staff TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 4	Dec	Mar	June

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Tutorial opportunities will be offered before school and on Saturdays during the spring semester.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Hispanic students will show academic growth of 5% on the STAAR Math test.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators			
Instructional Coaches			
Math Teachers			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools			
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 4			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinue	ie		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: In all core curriculum, our Special Ed population did not meet growth standards. **Root Cause**: Students struggle with comprehension and vocabulary.

Problem Statement 4: In ELA, Special education and Hispanic students did not meet grade-level standards in Meets or Masters. **Root Cause**: Students struggle with comprehension, grade-level text, and real contextual vocabulary.

Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 1: Due to a high number of Spanish speaking parents, there is a communication gap that exists between the parents and school. **Root Cause**: A lack of policies and procedures in communication to our Spanish speaking parents.

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 2: 7th grade Hispanic students will grow from 48% to 51% Meets on STAAR Reading.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CFA

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
egy 1: Provide students with small group instruction focusing on vocabulary and comprehension.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increasing students knowledge and understanding by bridging academic gaps in learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELA Instructional Coach ELA/ELLA Teachers In-class support staff Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Students will be provided additional academic support through enrichment classes designed to fill in learning gaps.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Hispanic students will show academic growth of 5% on the STAAR Reading test. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELA Instructional Coach ELA/ELLA Teachers In-class support staff TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 4 - Parent and Community Engagement 1	Dec	Mar	June

Strategy 3 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 3: Tutorial opportunities will be offered before school and on Saturdays during the spring semester.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Hispanic students will show academic growth of 5% on the STAAR Reading test.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators			
Instructional Coaches			
ELA/ELLA Teachers			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools			
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 4			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	ıe		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: In all core curriculum, our Special Ed population did not meet growth standards. Root Cause: Students struggle with comprehension and vocabulary.

Problem Statement 4: In ELA, Special education and Hispanic students did not meet grade-level standards in Meets or Masters. **Root Cause**: Students struggle with comprehension, grade-level text, and real contextual vocabulary.

Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 1: Due to a high number of Spanish speaking parents, there is a communication gap that exists between the parents and school. **Root Cause**: A lack of policies and procedures in communication to our Spanish speaking parents.

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 3: 8th Grade Hispanic students will grow from 45% to 48% Meets on STAAR math.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CFA

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Provide students with small group instruction.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increasing students knowledge and understanding by bridging academic gaps in learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Instructional Coaches Math Teachers In-class support staff Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 4 Funding Sources: Technology Integration Tools, Reading Materials, and Bilingual Dictionaries - Title III - \$600	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Students will be provided additional academic support through enrichment classes designed to fill in learning gaps.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Hispanic students will show academic growth of 5% on the STAAR Math test. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Enrichment Coach Math Enrichment Teachers In-class support staff TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 4	Dec	Mar	June

Strategy 3 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 3: Tutorial opportunities will be offered before school and on Saturdays during the spring semester.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Hispanic students will show academic growth of 5% on the STAAR Math test.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators			
Instructional Coaches			
Math Teachers			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools			
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 4			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinu	ie		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: In all core curriculum, our Special Ed population did not meet growth standards. Root Cause: Students struggle with comprehension and vocabulary.

Problem Statement 4: In ELA, Special education and Hispanic students did not meet grade-level standards in Meets or Masters. **Root Cause**: Students struggle with comprehension, grade-level text, and real contextual vocabulary.

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 4: 8th Grade Hispanic students will grow from 59% to 62% Meets on STAAR reading.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CFA

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Provide students with small group instruction.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increasing students knowledge and understanding by bridging academic gaps in learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELA Instructional Coaches ELA/ELLA Teachers In-class support staff Problem Statements: Student Achievement 4 Funding Sources: Technology Integration Tools, Reading Materials, and Bilingual Dictionaries - Title III - \$7,750	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Students will be provided additional academic support through enrichment classes designed to fill in learning gaps.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Hispanic students will show academic growth of 5% on the STAAR Reading test.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELA Instructional Coach ELA Enrichment Teachers In-class support staff TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Achievement 4			

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Tutorial opportunities will be offered before school and on Saturdays during the spring semester.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Hispanic students will show academic growth of 5% on the STAAR Reading test.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators			
Instructional Coaches			
ELA/ELLA Teachers			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools			
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 4			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: In all core curriculum, our Special Ed population did not meet growth standards. Root Cause: Students struggle with comprehension and vocabulary.

Problem Statement 4: In ELA, Special education and Hispanic students did not meet grade-level standards in Meets or Masters. **Root Cause**: Students struggle with comprehension, grade-level text, and real contextual vocabulary.

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 5: 7th Grade Special Education students will grow from 12% to 15% Meets on STAAR Math.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CFA

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Small group instruction focusing on strengthening and building foundational skills and strategies.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show academic growth of 6% for Approaches and 3% Meets.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Instructional Coaches			
Math Teachers			
Enrichment Teachers			
In-class support staff			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2			
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews
Strategy 2: Students will be provided additional academic support through enrichment classes designed to fill in learning gaps.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show academic growth of 6% for Approaches and 3% Meets.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Instructional Coaches			
Math Teachers			
Enrichment Teachers			
In-class support staff			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 4			

Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 3: Tutorial opportunities will be offered before school and on Saturdays during the spring semester.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show academic growth of 6% for Approaches and 3% Meets.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Instructional Coaches			
Math Teachers			
Enrichment Teachers			
In-class support staff			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discont	inue	•	•

Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: In all core curriculum, our Special Ed population did not meet growth standards. Root Cause: Students struggle with comprehension and vocabulary.

Problem Statement 4: In ELA, Special education and Hispanic students did not meet grade-level standards in Meets or Masters. **Root Cause**: Students struggle with comprehension, grade-level text, and real contextual vocabulary.

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 6: 7th Grade Special Education students will grow from 15% to 18% Meets on STAAR Reading.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CFA

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 1: Small group instruction focusing on strengthening and building foundational skills and strategies.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show academic growth of 5% for Approaches and 3% Meets.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELA Instructional Coach			
ELA/ELLA Teachers			
Enrichment Teachers			
In-class support staff			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Achievement 4			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Students will be provided additional academic support through enrichment classes designed to fill in learning gaps.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show academic growth of 5% for Approaches and 3% Meets.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELA Instructional Coach			
ELA/ELLA Teachers			
Enrichment Teachers			
In-class support staff			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2			

Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 3: Tutorial opportunities will be offered before school and on Saturdays during the spring semester.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show academic growth of 5% for Approaches and 3% Meets.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELA Instructional Coach			
ELA/ELLA Teachers			
Enrichment Teachers			
In-class support staff			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Disconti	nue	,	

Performance Objective 6 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: In all core curriculum, our Special Ed population did not meet growth standards. Root Cause: Students struggle with comprehension and vocabulary.

Problem Statement 4: In ELA, Special education and Hispanic students did not meet grade-level standards in Meets or Masters. **Root Cause**: Students struggle with comprehension, grade-level text, and real contextual vocabulary.

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 7: 8th Grade Special Education students will grow from 30% to 35% Meets on STAAR Math.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CFA

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Small group instruction focusing on strengthening and building foundational skills and strategies.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show academic growth of 6% for Approaches and 3% Meets.	Dec	Dec Mar .	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Instructional Coaches			
Math Teachers			
Enrichment Teachers			
In-class support staff			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 4			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Students will be provided additional academic support through enrichment classes designed to fill in learning gaps.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show academic growth of 6% for Approaches and 3% Meets.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Instructional Coaches Math Teachers Enrichment Teachers			
In-class support staff			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 4			

Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 3: Tutorial opportunities will be offered before school and on Saturdays during the spring semester.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show academic growth of 6% for Approaches and 3% Meets.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Instructional Coaches			
Math Teachers			
Enrichment Teachers			
In-class support staff			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 4			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Disconti	inue	,	

Performance Objective 7 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: In all core curriculum, our Special Ed population did not meet growth standards. Root Cause: Students struggle with comprehension and vocabulary.

Problem Statement 4: In ELA, Special education and Hispanic students did not meet grade-level standards in Meets or Masters. **Root Cause**: Students struggle with comprehension, grade-level text, and real contextual vocabulary.

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 8: 8th Grade Special Education students will grow from 28% to 31% Meets on STAAR Reading.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: CFA

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 1: Small group instruction focusing on strengthening and building foundational skills and strategies.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show academic growth of 5% for Approaches and 3% Meets.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELA Instructional Coach			
ELA/ELLA Teachers			
Enrichment Teachers			
In-class support staff			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Students will be provided additional academic support through enrichment classes designed to fill in learning gaps.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show academic growth of 5% for Approaches and 3% Meets.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELA Instructional Coach			
ELA/ELLA Teachers			
Enrichment Teachers			
In-class support staff			
ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 4			

Strategy 3 Details	Foi	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 3: Tutorial opportunities will be offered before school and on Saturdays during the spring semester.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show academic growth of 5% for Approaches and 3% Meets.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: ELA Instructional Coach			
ELA/ELLA Teachers			
Enrichment Teachers			
In-class support staff			
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2, 4			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discont	inue	•	

Performance Objective 8 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: In all core curriculum, our Special Ed population did not meet growth standards. Root Cause: Students struggle with comprehension and vocabulary.

Problem Statement 4: In ELA, Special education and Hispanic students did not meet grade-level standards in Meets or Masters. **Root Cause**: Students struggle with comprehension, grade-level text, and real contextual vocabulary.

Goal 2: School Leadership and Fiscal Responsibility

CISD will foster the development of successful and dynamic leaders who effectively and efficiently manage their teams and fiscal resources.

Performance Objective 1: To maintain efficient and effective fiscal management of resources and operations.

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 1: Develop and adopt a fiscally responsible budget to meet instructional goals.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Maintain an efficient budget.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrator			
Problem Statements: Culture and Climate 2			
No Progress ON Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinue	e		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 2: Campus inconsistency in agreed upon policy and infrastructure and what is agreed upon by foundations committee. **Root Cause**: Lack of clearly defined teacher and student expectations.

Goal 2: School Leadership and Fiscal Responsibility

CISD will foster the development of successful and dynamic leaders who effectively and efficiently manage their teams and fiscal resources.

Performance Objective 2: Build leadership capacity for both teachers and core team leadership.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Walk-throughs

Observation Discussions Survey

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 1: Providing Stallion Shorts and Coaches "U" to increase instructional techniques.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To improve student academic performance and engagement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Staff	Dec	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Culture and Climate 2 - Parent and Community Engagement 2			
Strategy 2 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 2: Weekly Instructional Leadership Team Meetings and Core Team Meetings.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To align campus vision/expectations with lead teachers, instructional support staff and administration.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership team Instructional Coaching Team			
Problem Statements: Culture and Climate 2			

Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 3: Stockton Junior High Aspiring Leaders		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To increase leadership capacity in aspiring assistant principals, principals, and instructional leaders.	Dec	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrator				
Problem Statements: Culture and Climate 2				
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 4: Building relationships and fostering a sense of community within the campus environment.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Stronger teacher bonds Increase communication Establish learning communities	Dec	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff				
Problem Statements: Culture and Climate 2 - Parent and Community Engagement 2				
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue/Modify	iue	ı		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 2: Campus inconsistency in agreed upon policy and infrastructure and what is agreed upon by foundations committee. **Root Cause**: Lack of clearly defined teacher and student expectations.

Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 2: Parents lack a sense of community with campus initiatives. Root Cause: The campus needs to provide outreach opportunities for parental engagement.

Goal 3: Recruitment, Development, and Retention of Staff

CISD will recruit, develop, and retain a highly-qualified staff to ensure effective instruction for all students.

Performance Objective 1: To recruit, retain and develop highly qualified teachers and staff for all students.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Retained a full-time Parent Liaison.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To bridge the communication gap between Spanish speaking parents and the campus.	Dec	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators				
Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 2				
Strategy 2 Details	For	rmative Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Attend and recruit from the CISD Teacher Job Fair.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Administrators	Dec	Mar	June	
Problem Statements: Culture and Climate 2				
Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	iews	
Strategy 3: Provide targeted instructional support for teachers by providing ongoing campus-wide professional development.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Retain and grow all teachers.	Dec	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators				
Campus Instructional Coaches				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
- Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Culture and Climate 2				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue/	inue			

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 2: Campus inconsistency in agreed upon policy and infrastructure and what is agreed upon by foundations committee. **Root Cause**: Lack of clearly defined teacher and student expectations.

Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 2: Parents lack a sense of community with campus initiatives. Root Cause: The campus needs to provide outreach opportunities for parental engagement.

Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture

CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture, conducive to learning, by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the values of our community.

Performance Objective 1: Establish foundational expectations for hallways, restrooms, stairways, cell phone usage, water fountains, and cafeteria procedures.

Evaluation Data Sources: Survey

Observations Procedural videos Discipline Referrals

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Increase student support for social and emotional needs.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To reduce student discipline referrals.	Dec	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor (Social and Emotional Needs) Community in Schools Liaison				
Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 2				
Strategy 2 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 2: Adequately train all staff on the expectations for PBIS using CHAMPS/ Foundations procedures.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase positive student behavior in common areas of the campus and in class.	Dec	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Problem Statements: Culture and Climate 2				

Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 3: Students will be taught the expectations for PBIS using CHAMPS/ Foundations procedures.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will adhere to procedures in all common areas which will lead to increased student behavior in class.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff			
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Culture and Climate 2			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	 e	I	

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 2: Campus inconsistency in agreed upon policy and infrastructure and what is agreed upon by foundations committee. **Root Cause**: Lack of clearly defined teacher and student expectations.

Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 2: Parents lack a sense of community with campus initiatives. **Root Cause**: The campus needs to provide outreach opportunities for parental engagement.

Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture

CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture, conducive to learning, by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the values of our community.

Performance Objective 2: To increase engagement with Stockton Junior High stakeholders, we have added a Community Engagement/Parent Liaison to our staff.

Evaluation Data Sources: Sign-in sheets

Surveys Phone records Social Media

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Family Engagement Liaison will host Parent Cafe' to Spanish speaking parents providing necessary support regarding CISD	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To build school community and school relationships understanding the importance of students academic success.	Dec	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Family Engagement Liaison Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 1, 2	For			
Strategy 2 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 2: The Family Engagement Liaison will provide information and feedback to Spanish speaking parents.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase school and community relationships with Spanish speaking families.		Mar	June	
	Dec	112442		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Family Engagement Liaison Problem Statements: Parent and Community Engagement 1	Dec	2.244		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 1: Due to a high number of Spanish speaking parents, there is a communication gap that exists between the parents and school. **Root Cause**: A lack of policies and procedures in communication to our Spanish speaking parents.

Problem Statement 2: Parents lack a sense of community with campus initiatives. Root Cause: The campus needs to provide outreach opportunities for parental engagement.

Goal 5: Effective Instruction

CISD will deliver meaningful instruction through objective-driven lessons and rigorous learning experiences using appropriate technology and instructional resources, and CISD will analyze data from ongoing formative assessments to foster the development of critical-thinking skills for all learners.

Performance Objective 1: Implementation of campus-wide data tracker to monitor student's academic progress.

Evaluation Data Sources: CFA's

Interim Assessments Common Assessments

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Provide intensive, systematic instructional support for identified at-risk students		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 5% increase on STAAR tests.		Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Staff			
Problem Statements: Culture and Climate 2 Funding Sources: ELAR Teachers for at-risk students - State Comp Ed - \$298,290, HIST Teachers + 1 Hist Para for at-risk students -			
State Comp Ed - \$154,171, MATH Teachers for at-risk students - State Comp Ed - \$238,437.90, ESL Para to support at-risk ESL students - State Comp Ed - \$48,491.10, Student Success Teacher: support at-risk students - State Comp Ed - \$249,990.20, Instructional Coaches to support at-risk students - State Comp Ed - \$162,796.80, Instructional materials to support at-risk students - State Comp Ed -			
\$11,657			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Train teachers how to access and use the data for planning lessons and instruction.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators			
Core Academic Teachers			
Enrichment Teachers			
District Coaches			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math Targeted Support Strategy			
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2			
Instructional Coaches District Coaches TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math Targeted Support Strategy			

Strategy 3 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 3: Train teachers how to effectively use small group instruction.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance.	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration			
Core Academic Teachers			
Enrichment Teachers			
Instructional Coaches			
District Coaches			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers:			
Lever 5: Effective Instruction			
- Targeted Support Strategy			
Problem Statements: Culture and Climate 2			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinue	;		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: In all core curriculum, our Special Ed population did not meet growth standards. Root Cause: Students struggle with comprehension and vocabulary.

Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 2: Campus inconsistency in agreed upon policy and infrastructure and what is agreed upon by foundations committee. **Root Cause**: Lack of clearly defined teacher and student expectations.

State Compensatory

Budget for Stockton Junior High School

Total SCE Funds: \$1,163,834.00 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 16

Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

Personnel for Stockton Junior High School

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Ana Ceron-Bonilla	PARA AIDE	1
Angelica Horton	Language Arts Teacher	1
Christel Ghrist	Instructional Coach ELAR	1
Christian Wyrick	Language Arts Teacher	1
David Moore	Math Teacher	1
Deadrian Pratt	PARA PHYS ED	1
Johnny Flores	Social Studies Teacher	1
Joslyn Pierce	Student Success Manager	1
Kerri Bushman	Student Success Manager	1
Lisette Richard	Instructional Coach MATH	1
Melanie Cazedessus	Language Arts Teacher	1
Monica Castaneda-Soto	Math Teacher	1
Morris Halsey	Math Teacher	1
Penny Pitts	Student Success Manager	1
Vicki McShan	Language Arts Teacher	1
Yvette Perry	Social Studies Teacher	1

Campus Funding Summary

			Title III			
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount	
1	3	1	Technology Integration Tools, Reading Materials, and Bilingual Dictionaries		\$600.00	
1	4	1	Technology Integration Tools, Reading Materials, and Bilingual Dictionaries		\$7,750.00	
				Sub-To	\$8,350.00	
Budgeted Fund Source Am					ınt \$8,350.00	
+/- Differ			+/- Differer	\$0.00		
			State Comp Ed			
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount	
5	1	1	Instructional materials to support at-risk students		\$11,657.00	
5	1	1	ESL Para to support at-risk ESL students		\$48,491.10	
5	1	1	HIST Teachers + 1 Hist Para for at-risk students		\$154,171.00	
5	1	1	Student Success Teacher: support at-risk students		\$249,990.20	
5	1	1	ELAR Teachers for at-risk students		\$298,290.00	
5	1	1	Instructional Coaches to support at-risk students		\$162,796.80	
5	1	1	MATH Teachers for at-risk students		\$238,437.90	
				Sub-Total	\$1,163,834.00	
Budgeted Fund Source Amount			l Fund Source Amount	\$1,163,834.00		
+/- Difference			+/- Difference	\$0.00		
Grand Total Budgeted			Grand Total Budgeted	\$1,172,184.00		
Grand Total Spent			Grand Total Spent	\$1,172,184.00		
+/- Difference				+/- Difference	\$0.00	