Conroe Independent School District Grangerland Intermediate 2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan



Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Student Achievement	4
Culture and Climate	6
Parent and Community Engagement	8
Priority Problem Statements	9
Goals	10
Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.	11
Goal 2: School Leadership and Fiscal Responsibility CISD will foster the development of successful and dynamic leaders who effectively and efficiently manage their teams and fiscal resources.	18
Goal 3: Recruitment, Development, and Retention of Staff CISD will recruit, develop, and retain a highly-qualified staff to ensure effective instruction for all students. Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture, conducive to learning, by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the	20
values of our community.	21
Goal 5: Effective Instruction CISD will deliver meaningful instruction through objective-driven lessons and rigorous learning experiences using appropriate technology and instructional resources, and CISD will analyze data from ongoing formative assessments to foster the development of critical-thinking skills for all learners.	24
State Compensatory	26
Budget for Grangerland Intermediate	27
Personnel for Grangerland Intermediate	27
Γitle I	27
1. Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA)	28
1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment	28
2. Campus Improvement Plan	28
2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders	28
2.2: Regular monitoring and revision	28
2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language	28
2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards	28
2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education	28
2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk	29
3. Annual Evaluation	29
3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan	29
4. Parent and Family Engagement (PFE)	29
4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy	29
4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings	29
5. Targeted Assistance Schools Only	29
Fitle I Personnel	30
Campus Funding Summary	31

2 of 34

Grangerland Intermediate Generated by Plan4Learning.com

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Student Achievement

Student Achievement Summary

Student Achievement:

Domain 1-Student Achievement: Grangerland Intermediate Score: 69/C

Domain 2-Student Progress: Grangerland Intermediate Score: 75/C

Domain 3-Closing Performance Gaps: Grangerland Intermediate: 71/C

The domain scores increased overall and in each area from the previous year.

Overall Score:74/C

These scores result in Grangerland Intermediate receiving a 2022 Accountability rating of C.

On the 2022 STAAR, the following scores for all grades by percentages for:

All subjects: 64% Approaches, 34% Meets, and 13% Masters

Reading: 63% Approaches, 36% Meets, and 17% Masters

Math: 71% Approaches, 35% Meets, 12% Masters

Science: 54% Approaches, 26% Meets, 8% Masters

Student Achievement Strengths

Scores in Reading increased in Approaches, Meets, and Masters. Math increased in Masters, but decreased in Approaches and Meets. Science decreased in Approaches and Meets, however stayed the same in Masters.

Sub Category	2021	2022	Gain/Loss
AA	25	42	+17
Н	32	31	-1
W	38	38	0
Eco Dis	32	31	-1
SPED	16	17	+1
EL	28	28	0

Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): In Reading, the All Student group, Hispanic, White, Eco Disadvantaged, EL, and SPED sub populations did not meet the Meets grade level expectations on the Reading STAAR exam. **Root Cause:** The need to use differentiated strategies to meet the students needs are hindering student growth.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): In Math, the All Student group, Hispanic, White, Eco Disadvantaged, EL, and SPED sub populations did not meet the Meets grade level expectations on the Math STAAR exam. **Root Cause:** Differentiation for all students is not occurring consistently.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): 26% of students performed at Meets Grade Level on STAAR in Science. Root Cause: Students did not engage in as many hands on activities.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): All student sub population groups did not meet one year's academic growth on the STAAR exam. **Root Cause:** The lack of differentiated strategies to meet the students needs are hindering student growth.

Problem Statement 5 (Prioritized): For Closing the Gaps 9 subgroups did not meet academic achievement expectations. Root Cause: Students have long term gaps created by the Grangerland Intermediate Generated by Plan4Learning.com

5 of 34

October 7, 2022 9:51 AM

pandemic.

Problem Statement 6: Students receiving Special Education services are under-performing in comparison to students not served by those programs.

Problem Statement 7: Students who scored Approaches Grade Level on STAAR in the previous year rarely perform at Meets Grade Level on STAAR in Reading.

Problem Statement 8: Students receiving ELL services are under-performing in comparison to students not served by those programs.

Culture and Climate

Culture and Climate Summary

Grangerland is a school invested in the growth of each student, academically, socially, and emotionally. This is performed by creating a positive school culture where every student is seen, noticed, and valued. This culture of mutual respect is driven by our vision, mission, and goals. Several different facets are used to develop this culture.

MTSS is utilized to provide different levels of support and interventions for students who may be struggling academically, behaviorally, and socially. Our Problem Solving Team, comprised of diagnosticians, admin, counselors, intervention teachers, and student behavior coaches, met regularly to review data regarding student behavior, academic progress, interventions, and next steps. Plans are created to support students with the consultation of teachers.

At Grangerland we implemented a House System in which the goal was to promote positive characteristics, celebrate student successes, and connect students with the school. While we saw a decrease in behavior issues, we have adapted the House System further to refine the process. This House System created a positive culture in which students took ownership and became proud of their Houses.

We have been a part of the Foundations Cohort for PBIS for nine years. This helped us set up school-wide procedures to create a safe school environment. Teachers were trained with CHAMPS to establish clear classroom behavior expectations and procedures, as well as develop a common language about behaviors among the staff.

Discipline data is reviewed quarterly to identify patterns, areas of concerns, and areas for improvement.

At Grangerland we have established PLC times so teachers can collaborate, share best practices, and review student data. Our PLC offers an opportunity for shared knowledge, creation and sharing of resources, and opportunities for growth.

In accordance with the guidelines and recommendations from the Texas Education Agency (TEA), Grangerland follows the measures to mitigate the spread of COVID-19. Grangerland is proactive in keeping campuses, staff, and students safe, as well as, taking a positive proactive instructional approach to behavior in utilizing PBIS Foundations training.

Culture and Climate Strengths

Grangerland Intermediate has a healthy, supportive culture. Teachers are always willing to share and help each other out.

Teachers have many opportunities to participate in decision making processes through PLC, committees, team leadership opportunities, and surveys for opinions.

The House System allowed for every student to be involved in service projects, pep rallies, and positive promotions for our school.

- 1. Grangerland staff reflects and works to learn more about working with students who live in poverty.
- 2. At Grangerland, hiring campus personnel to reflect the cultural and diverse student body is a priority.
- 3. "All Means All" at Grangerland Every students' academic, social and emotional needs are a priority.
- 6. At Grangerland, students will develop a healthy sense of self and social awareness, embrace diversity with empathy and respect for others, successfully manage their emotions, behaviors, and make responsible decisions.
- 7. Grangerland continues to promote Safe Schools through Safe Schools training and utilizes mitigation strategies to keep our schools, students, and staff safe.

Problem Statements Identifying Culture and Climate Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): We have a high number of student referrals for classroom disruptions. **Root Cause:** Lack of opportunity to learn varied classroom management skills to manage the needs of students who struggle with academic and social/emotional needs.

Problem Statement 2: Retention of high quality staff. Root Cause: Need to improve teacher morale.

Problem Statement 3: Students exhibit a lack of coping skills.

Parent and Community Engagement

Parent and Community Engagement Summary

Community engagement is an ongoing goal at Grangerland Intermediate. We strive to have effective and timely communication with our community. Monthly newsletters, school messenger for announcements and reminders, and social media are used to share information. Parent Nights are held to inform families of community resources and instructional strategies they can use at home to help their students. Bilingual Night, GT Night, Title 1 Parent Night are all provided to share program information and resources. We also have concerts from our Fine Arts students to share their learning with families.

We have an active PTO that assists with different events for our students.

We have a parent liaison to help improve our home and school connection.

Parent and Community Engagement Strengths

At Grangerland, we utilize many modes of communication, school media, school messenger, parent night, bilingual night, and Smore newsletters.

- When we have events at school, we have a strong turnout of families and students in attendance.
- Our PTO is able to put on large events and garner support and volunteers from the Community.
- We have a strong partnership with Lone Star Clinic and Tri-County so that we can connect families with the resources they need.
- We have a Communities in Schools partnership that supports our students and families.

Problem Statements Identifying Parent and Community Engagement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Struggled to build a trusting relationships with parents and families. **Root Cause:** Due to Covid restrictions and a lack of face to face meetings, decreased parent involvement has contributed to the inability to build relationships.

Problem Statement 2: We struggle to communicate in an effective way that matches the needs of families. **Root Cause:** Need to identify communication preferences among families at Grangerland.

Problem Statement 3: School and family partnerships can be a struggle. **Root Cause:** The need to identify and communicate common expectations regarding the role of families and the school in educating students.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: In Reading, the All Student group, Hispanic, White, Eco Disadvantaged, EL, and SPED sub populations did not meet the Meets grade level expectations on the Reading STAAR exam.

Root Cause 1: The need to use differentiated strategies to meet the students needs are hindering student growth.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 4: We have a high number of student referrals for classroom disruptions.

Root Cause 4: Lack of opportunity to learn varied classroom management skills to manage the needs of students who struggle with academic and social/emotional needs.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 7: Struggled to build a trusting relationships with parents and families.

Root Cause 7: Due to Covid restrictions and a lack of face to face meetings, decreased parent involvement has contributed to the inability to build relationships.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 2: In Math, the All Student group, Hispanic, White, Eco Disadvantaged, EL, and SPED sub populations did not meet the Meets grade level expectations on the Math STAAR exam.

Root Cause 2: Differentiation for all students is not occurring consistently.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 5: 26% of students performed at Meets Grade Level on STAAR in Science.

Root Cause 5: Students did not engage in as many hands on activities.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 3: All student sub population groups did not meet one year's academic growth on the STAAR exam.

Root Cause 3: The lack of differentiated strategies to meet the students needs are hindering student growth.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 6: For Closing the Gaps 9 subgroups did not meet academic achievement expectations.

Root Cause 6: Students have long term gaps created by the pandemic.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Student Achievement

Goals

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 1: 5th and 6th grade Meets scores in Reading will increase their percentage scores by 5% on the 2023 Reading STAAR exam.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
trategy 1: Provide staff development on mini-lessons, guided reading, conferring, independent reading, and differentiated		Formative		Summative
small group instruction. Implementation will be monitored through walk-throughs and feedback conversations.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teacher capacity in ELA components and higher quality conversations about student performance.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional coaches Principal				
Assistant Principals				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers				
Funding Sources: ELA Instructional Coach - Title I - \$80,226, Staff Development - Title I - \$9,000				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: We will provide interventions based on formative assessment data through small groups during WIN Time and		Formative		Summative
Intervention Time, which includes RtI Intervention, and before/after school tutorials. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted intervention to individualize learning will lead to increased student performance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Coach TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers Funding Sources: Extra Duty for Tutorials - Title I - \$5,000, Inst Paraprofessional - Title I - \$25,479, Instructional Paraprofessional - ESSER III - \$25,000, Extra Duty - State Comp Ed - \$6,000, Dyslexia	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Interventionist - ESSER III - \$70,000 No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	Y Discor	ntinue		

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 2: 5th grade Meets scores in Science will increase their percentage scores by 5% on the 2023 Science STAAR exam.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Provide staff development on small grouping, hands on labs, differentiation, Science Success, and monitor		Formative		Summative
implementation with walk-throughs and feedback conversations. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teacher capacity in small groups and higher quality conversations about student performance.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional coaches Principal Assistant Principals				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers				
Funding Sources: Science Coach - Title I - \$75,000, Instructional Paraprofessional - Title I - \$27,439				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 2: We will provide interventions based on formative assessment data through small groups during WIN and		Formative		Summative
Intervention Time, including before/after school tutorials. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted intervention to individualize learning will lead to increased student performance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Coach	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers Funding Sources: Inst Paraprofessional - Title I - \$23,892, Extra Duty - State Comp Ed - \$4,625				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	ı	1

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 3: 5th and 6th grade Meets scores in Math will increase their percentage scores by 5% on the 2023 Math STAAR exam.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: We will provide differentiated interventions based on formative assessment data through small groups during		Formative		Summative
WIN Time, Intervention Time which includes RtI and Math Intervention, and before/ after school tutorials. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Targeted intervention to individualize learning will lead to increased student performance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional coaches Principal Assistant Principals TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers Funding Sources: Extra Duty - Staff - Title I - \$1,417, Extra Duty - Admin - Title I - \$2,000, Math Interventionist - ESSER III - \$60,000	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Provide staff development on Daily Math Review, guided math structures, and utilizing Formative		Formative S		
Assessments to guide learning. Implementation will be monitored through walk-throughs and feedback conversations. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teacher capacity in small groups and higher quality	Oct	Dec	Mar	June

conversations about student performance.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Coach			
TEA Priorities:			
Build a foundation of reading and math			
- ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers			
Funding Sources: Instructional Coach - Title I - \$78,235, Extra Duty - State Comp Ed - \$4,000			
Funding Sources. Instructional Coach - True 1 - \$76,233, Extra Duty - State Comp Ed - \$4,000			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	itinue	

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 4: Implement campus wide systems to monitor individual student progress for all student groups.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teachers will monitor the progress of students using a variety of data including exit tickets, benchmarks, CFAs,		Formative		Summative
and other informal assessments.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will monitor student progress toward state accountability measures.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Instructional coaches, teachers				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: General Education Teachers for At-Risk students - State Comp Ed - \$89,518				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will monitor student progress through the use of a Longitudinal Data Dashboard for individual		Formative	ıtive	Summative
students and student groups.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will monitor student progress toward state accountability measures.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, Instructional coaches, teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: MTSS Manager - ESSER III - \$72,000				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Students will monitor their own progress through the use of an individual data tracker that reflects performance,		Formative		
progress, and goal setting.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will monitor their progress toward state accountability measures. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 5: All student sub population groups will meet one year academic growth on the STAAR exam.

Evaluation Data Sources: Benchmarks, Common assessments, and STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide staff development on small grouping and differentiated strategies.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase students performance as evident on the Academic Growth measure for STAAR accountability.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principals				
Instructional Coaches				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers				
Funding Sources: Employee Travel - Title I - \$7,000, Books - Title I - \$3,000, Admin Travel - Title I - \$7,000				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 2: School Leadership and Fiscal Responsibility

CISD will foster the development of successful and dynamic leaders who effectively and efficiently manage their teams and fiscal resources.

Performance Objective 1: To develop staff, we will provide quality staff development, opportunities for growth and campus leadership.

Evaluation Data Sources: End of Course survey

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 1: Grangerland Leadership Academy develops potential administrators through monthly meetings focused on	f on Formative		Formative		
challenging administrative topics.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To help staff members reach their goal of having administrative leadership positions.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators					
TEA Priorities:					
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Develop team leadership through monthly team leader meetings.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: PLC teams lead by impactful leaders.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, coaches					
TEA Priorities:					
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	<u> </u>	-1	

Goal 2: School Leadership and Fiscal Responsibility

CISD will foster the development of successful and dynamic leaders who effectively and efficiently manage their teams and fiscal resources.

Performance Objective 2: To maintain efficient and effective fiscal management of resources and operations.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus Budget Report

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Grangerland will utilize the budget in all departments effectively.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Grangerland will have an efficiently used budget as appropriate.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Principal's secretary				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 3: Recruitment, Development, and Retention of Staff

CISD will recruit, develop, and retain a highly-qualified staff to ensure effective instruction for all students.

Performance Objective 1: To recruit, retain and develop highly qualified teachers and staff for all students.

Evaluation Data Sources: HQ HR Report

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Attend the Conroe ISD Job Fair and local University job fairs	Formative Summa			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% High qualified staff on campus.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Assistant Principals				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: To develop staff, we will provide quality staff development, opportunities for growth and campus leadership.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Quality instruction in all classrooms, teacher autonomy, and teacher satisfaction.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration, campus coaches, PLC leaders				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue	1	1

Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture

CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture, conducive to learning, by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the values of our community.

Performance Objective 1: To work jointly with parents and the community to maximize learning for all students through communication, collaborative partnerships and unity of purpose.

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance Sign-in sheets

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Increase participation in school related activities such as title 1 night, parent conferences, open house, etc.		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strengthened partnership between school and families.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: All staff				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Funding Sources: Family Engagement - Title I - \$3,520, Clinic Aide - ESSER III - \$24,573				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Increase parent involvement through volunteer opportunities such as: FBI (Fathers Being Involved), room		Summative		
parents, and other arranged opportunities as needed.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased home/school partnership				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Parent Liaison				
Administrators				
Funding Sources: Parent Liaison - ESSER III - \$25,000				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture

CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture, conducive to learning, by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the values of our community.

Performance Objective 2: To establish and maintain safe and positive school climate by implementing CHAMPS and Foundations with fidelity throughout classrooms and the school.

Evaluation Data Sources: View-It Reports

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide staff development and monitor campus wide CHAMPS implementation.	Formative Summa			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decreased number of student referrals.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Foundations team, Admin, Behavior Coach				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Funding Sources: Behavior Coach (2 years) - ESSER III - \$72,000, Counselor - Title I - \$85,296, Assistant Principal - ESSER II - \$85,000				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture

CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture, conducive to learning, by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the values of our community.

Performance Objective 3: Effectively communicate with all community stakeholders to promote a collaborative school culture.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: We will provide a monthly newsletter to all families, to communicate via social media and updates of important	Formative			Summative
information. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Families will stay informed on school activities and student success. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Counselors	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue		

Goal 5: Effective Instruction

CISD will deliver meaningful instruction through objective-driven lessons and rigorous learning experiences using appropriate technology and instructional resources, and CISD will analyze data from ongoing formative assessments to foster the development of critical-thinking skills for all learners.

Performance Objective 1: We will use technology tools in the classroom to be effective in differentiating instruction for all students as well as using research based instructional strategies to enhance and extend learning.

Evaluation Data Sources: Classroom Observations

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers are provided with campus wide, department specific, and/or one on one instructional technology staff		Summative		
development opportunities with the technology instructional coach.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Enhance teacher knowledge of technological tools that will increase student success. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Technology Instructional Coach, Admin				
Funding Sources: Computer Supplies/Repairs - Title I - \$32,162, General Supplies - Title I - \$14,554				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 5: Effective Instruction

CISD will deliver meaningful instruction through objective-driven lessons and rigorous learning experiences using appropriate technology and instructional resources, and CISD will analyze data from ongoing formative assessments to foster the development of critical-thinking skills for all learners.

Performance Objective 2: Teachers will collect and use data in a timely manner to plan and implement targeted instruction.

Evaluation Data Sources: PLC meetings

Strategy 1 Details Reviews				
Strategy 1: PLCs will follow data cycle protocol including CFAs, Data meetings, and interventions and hold planning		Formative		Summative
meeting outside of PLC time to plan targeted instruction. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: To have targeted instruction based on student needs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coaches, Admin TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Substitutes - Title I - \$4,000	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Provide Bilingual interventions to increase our TELPAS rates.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student growth on TELPAS.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Instructional Coaches, Student Success Teacher TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers Funding Sources: General Supplies - Title III - \$3,100, Books - Title III - \$500, Extra Duty - Title III - \$4,500, Instructional Para-Bilingual - ESSER III - \$25,000				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

State Compensatory

Budget for Grangerland Intermediate

Total SCE Funds: \$0.00

Total FTEs Funded by SCE: 42.51

Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

Personnel for Grangerland Intermediate

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Gen Education	Teachers for At-Risk Students	40.51
Jessica Winkler	Behavior Coach	1
Kathleen Kozak	Student Success Manager	1

Title I

1. Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA)

1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment

The campus conducted an annual comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school, analyzing the academic achievement of all students and subgroups of students.

2. Campus Improvement Plan

2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders

The campus developed a CIP involving parents and other stakeholders such as teachers, the campus principal, paraprofessionals, and community stakeholders.

2.2: Regular monitoring and revision

The campus will regularly monitor the CIP and revise strategies based on our identified needs. Our core team will monitor the plan, including administrators, campus coaches, student support services coaches, RTI interventionists, and counselors. Once the Campus Improvement plan is complete, we will share it with staff and parents.

2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language

The campus ensures our Campus Improvement plan is publicly available to parents and the community (English and Spanish) on the CISD Website under Accountability and available upon request in hard copy.

2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards

The campus implements reform strategies to address school needs, including opportunities for all students and student subgroups to exceed academic standards. Staff and administration closely monitor grades and assessments to ensure students are on target to meet State standards. Students who are at risk of missing their targeted benchmarks receive support and small group instruction, including:

- RTI Instruction
- In Class Small Group Instruction
- Pull Out Support
- Push In Support

2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education

The campus executes strategies to increase the quality and amount of learning time available to strengthen the academic program in the school and provides students with an enriched and accelerated curriculum.

2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk

The campus will address all students' needs, particularly those at risk of not meeting academic standards. We closely monitor our at-risk students and work to ensure we are meeting their educational needs through:

- RTI Instruction
- Small Group Instruction
- Guided Math
- In Class Support

3. Annual Evaluation

3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan

The campus conducted an annual comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school, analyzing the academic achievement of all students and subgroups of students. Data included academic, social, and emotional reviews by stakeholders, including teachers (general and special education), administration, student support, and families. In addition, the campus explored the intent, use of funds, and available school resources. During formative reviews, the core team will evaluate the Title I Schoolwide Plan annually and throughout the school year.

4. Parent and Family Engagement (PFE)

4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy

With parents' input, the campus jointly developed a written Family Engagement Policy and School Family Student Compact. We also used our Parent Survey at the end of the year to make any needed changes to our Family Engagement Policy and School Family Student Compact.

4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings

The campus offers various family engagement activities, including flexible times and days of the week. In addition, the campus sends home information regarding family engagement opportunities and required notices in a format and language that families can understand. (English and Spanish).

5. Targeted Assistance Schools Only		

Title I Personnel

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Arelia Betler	Instructional Coach	Title I	1.00
Candace Bolton	Science Inst Coach	Title 1	1.0
Courtnie Martinez	Paraprofessional	Title I	1.00
Heather Troutman	Paraprofessional	Title I	1.00
Jerilynn Dunn	Paraprofessional	Title I	1.00
Melanie Clevenger	Student Support Teacher	Title I	1.00
Michelle Washington	Instructional Coach	Title I	1.00
Yvette Chapman	Counselor	Title I	1.00

Campus Funding Summary

Title I						
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount	
1	1	1	Staff Development		\$9,000.00	
1	1	1	ELA Instructional Coach		\$80,226.00	
1	1	2	Inst Paraprofessional		\$25,479.00	
1	1	2	Extra Duty for Tutorials		\$5,000.00	
1	2	1	Instructional Paraprofessional		\$27,439.00	
1	2	1	Science Coach		\$75,000.00	
1	2	2	Inst Paraprofessional		\$23,892.00	
1	3	1	Extra Duty - Admin		\$2,000.00	
1	3	1	Extra Duty - Staff		\$1,417.00	
1	3	2	Instructional Coach		\$78,235.00	
1	5	1	Books		\$3,000.00	
1	5	1	Admin Travel		\$7,000.00	
1	5	1	Employee Travel		\$7,000.00	
4	1	1	Family Engagement		\$3,520.00	
4	2	1	Counselor		\$85,296.00	
5	1	1	Computer Supplies/Repairs		\$32,162.00	
5	1	1	General Supplies		\$14,554.00	
5	2	1	Substitutes		\$4,000.00	
•		•		Sub-Total	\$484,220.00	
			Budgete	ed Fund Source Amount	\$484,220.00	
				+/- Difference	\$0.00	
			Title III	•		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount	
5	2	2	Books		\$500.00	
5	2	2	General Supplies		\$3,100.00	
5	2	2	Extra Duty		\$4,500.00	
	Sub-Total Sub-Total					

			Title III		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
			Budg	geted Fund Source Amount	\$8,100.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
			State Comp Ed		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	2	Extra Duty		\$6,000.00
1	2	2	Extra Duty		\$4,625.00
1	3	2	Extra Duty		\$4,000.00
1	4	1	General Education Teachers for At-Risk students		\$89,518.00
				Sub-Total	\$104,143.00
			Budgete	ed Fund Source Amount	\$104,143.00
+/- Difference				\$0.00	
ESSER II					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
4	2	1	Assistant Principal		\$85,000.00
				Sub-Total	\$85,000.00
			Budge	eted Fund Source Amount	\$85,000.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
			ESSER III		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	2	Instructional Paraprofessional		\$25,000.00
1	1	2	Dyslexia Interventionist		\$70,000.00
1	3	1	Math Interventionist		\$60,000.00
1	4	2	MTSS Manager		\$72,000.00
4	1	1	Clinic Aide		\$24,573.00
4	1	2	Parent Liaison		\$25,000.00
4	2	1	Behavior Coach (2 years)		\$72,000.00
5	2	2	Instructional Para-Bilingual		\$25,000.00
Sub-Total					
			Budgete	ed Fund Source Amount	\$373,573.00
	+/- Difference				

	ESSER					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount	
					\$0.00	
Sub-Total		\$0.00				
Budgeted Fund Source Amount				\$0.00		
+/- Difference				+/- Difference	\$0.00	
				Grand Total Budgeted	\$1,055,036.00	
Grand Total Spen				Grand Total Spent	\$1,055,036.00	
				+/- Difference	\$0.00	