Conroe Independent School District Austin Elementary

2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan



Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	3
Student Achievement	3
Culture and Climate	4
Parent and Community Engagement	5
Priority Problem Statements	6
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	7
Goals	ç
Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.	10
Goal 2: School Leadership and Fiscal Responsibility CISD will foster the development of successful and dynamic leaders who effectively and efficiently manage their teams and fiscal resources.	18
Goal 3: Recruitment, Development, and Retention of Staff CISD will recruit, develop, and retain a highly-qualified staff to ensure effective instruction for all students.	20
Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture conducive to learning by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the	
values of our community.	21
Goal 5: Effective Instruction CISD will deliver meaningful instruction through objective-driven lessons and rigorous learning experiences using appropriate technology and instructional resources, and CISD will analyze data from ongoing formative assessments to foster the development of critical-thinking skills for all learners.	24
Γitle I	27
1. Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA)	28
1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment	28
2. Campus Improvement Plan	28
2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders	28
2.2: Regular monitoring and revision	28
2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language	28
2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards	28
2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education	28
2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk	29
3. Annual Evaluation	29
3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan	29
4. Parent and Family Engagement (PFE)	29
4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy	29
4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings	29
5. Targeted Assistance Schools Only	29
Campus Funding Summary	30

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Student Achievement

Student Achievement Summary

Austin had the following performance percentages on the 2022 STAAR assessment.

- On 3rd Grade Reading, 66% of students achieved approaches and only 36% met standard.
- On 3rd Grade Reading Reporting Category 3 (Understanding/Analysis of Informational text), students scored 52% passing.
- On 3rd Grade Math, 63% of students achieved approaches and only 28% met standard.
- On 3rd Grade Math, Reporting Category 3 (Geometry and Measurement) was 48% passing.
- K-2 improved the percentage of students reading on grade level; however, we still have 38% of students reading below grade level.
- On 3rd Grade Reading, Bilingual students testing in English scored 70% in approaches grade level.
- On 3rd Grade Reading, Bilingual students testing in Spanish scored 45% at the approaches level.

Student Achievement Strengths

Austin had the following academic strengths on the 2022 STAAR assessment.

- On 4th Grade Reading, 66% of all students met standard.
- On 4th Grade Reading, white students had 74% met standard which exceeds the federal accountability measure.
- On 4th Grade Math, 54% of students met standard.
- On 4th Grade Math, white students had 61% that met standard which exceeds the federal accountability measure.
- On all STAAR tested areas increased from the 2021 STAAR assessment.
- The progress measure score was rated an 'A'.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The percentage of 3rd grade students meeting grade level standard on the 2022 STAAR Reading was 36%. **Root Cause:** Intervention is not addressing each level of student need.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): 3rd Grade reading data on Reporting Category 3 was 52% passing on the 2022 STAAR assessment. **Root Cause:** Readers' workshop in grades K-2 lacks rigor and fidelity.

Problem Statement 3: K-2 reading levels indicate that 38% of students are reading significantly below grade level. **Root Cause:** Teachers need more training on effective strategies when readers struggle.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): 3rd Grade math reporting category 3 was below 50% passing on the 2022 STAAR assessment. **Root Cause:** Guided Math lacks differentiation and productive struggle by students.

Problem Statement 5: On the TELPAS test, 31% of students in 3rd grade scored at the beginning level in the area of Reading. **Root Cause:** Bilingual and ESL students in 2nd grade are not making appropriate gains in reading the English language.

Problem Statement 6: Emergent bilingual students who took the reading test in Spanish scored significantly lower than those that took it in English. **Root Cause:** The Spanish STAAR test utilizes academic vocabulary that is difficult for students to comprehend.

Problem Statement 7: 3rd Grade white students scored significantly below the federal accountability measure in Reading. **Root Cause:** White students who are also economically disadvantaged have a passing standard that is significantly higher than the other two categories.

Problem Statement 8: 3rd Grade white students scored significantly below the federal accountability measure in math. **Root Cause:** White students who are also economically disadvantaged have a passing standard that is significantly higher than the other two categories.

Culture and Climate

Culture and Climate Summary

When looking at the OHI data, 8 out of 10 Dimensions increased. Slight decreases occurred in morale and resource utilization.

Culture and Climate Strengths

When looking at the OHI data, strengths were observed in the following areas.

Goal focus increased by 11 points

Autonomy increased by 25 points

Adaptation increased by 22 points

The middle 1/3 is being lifted by the top 1/3

Problem Statements Identifying Culture and Climate Needs

Problem Statement 1: The number of administrative interventions with the specific students increased from previous years. **Root Cause:** Lack of a consistent system for addressing repeated behaviors that traditional discipline does not decrease.

Problem Statement 2: Teacher morale is the lowest indicator of the overall health of the school. **Root Cause:** Learning loss of students during COVID absences caused teachers stress and a significant reason to worry.

Problem Statement 3: OHI scores increased but are still significantly lower than they were before virtual instruction. **Root Cause:** Learning loss of students that participated in virtual learning made it difficult for teachers to feel that they are being academically successful with their students.

Parent and Community Engagement

Parent and Community Engagement Summary

With the addition of a parent liaison, we increased our parent volunteer hours and improved our communication. We were unable to bring Watch D.O.G.S. back due to COVID restrictions.

Parent and Community Engagement Strengths

Strengths for parent and community engagement included:

- parent liaison contact for parents
- weekly newsletter for parent communication
- PTO events that brought parents into the school
- library nights

Problem Statements Identifying Parent and Community Engagement Needs

Problem Statement 1: Students were unable to cope with the stressors associated with balancing school and home life. **Root Cause:** Parents' struggle to find resources to assist their children emotionally, socially, and academically.

Problem Statement 2: Attendance at Austin Elementary was under 95% which was lower than previous years. **Root Cause:** COVID cases are still impacting our attendance as students are staying home if they have been exposed or have symptoms.

Problem Statement 3: Parents need more opportunities to be involved with their children's education. **Root Cause:** Lack of understanding and communication regarding various ways parents can assist in their child's education.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: The percentage of 3rd grade students meeting grade level standard on the 2022 STAAR Reading was 36%.

Root Cause 1: Intervention is not addressing each level of student need.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2: 3rd Grade reading data on Reporting Category 3 was 52% passing on the 2022 STAAR assessment.

Root Cause 2: Readers' workshop in grades K-2 lacks rigor and fidelity.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 3: 3rd Grade math reporting category 3 was below 50% passing on the 2022 STAAR assessment.

Root Cause 3: Guided Math lacks differentiation and productive struggle by students.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Achievement

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers for Assessment, Accountability, ESSA, Missed School Days, Educator Appraisals, etc.
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- · Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- RDA data
- Community Based Accountability System (CBAS)

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- · Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data
- Other PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

• Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups

- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- · Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Section 504 data
- · Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate

Support Systems and Other Data

- · Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- · Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices
- · Other additional data

Goals

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 1: Increase the percent of 3rd grade students that score at the meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading from 36% to 48%.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Interim Assessments and STAAR data

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide teachers with coaching cycles, instructional rounds, and lab sites in order to build teacher capacity.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By modeling and coaching best practices in reading, teachers will provide quality reading instruction resulting in an increase in reading scores	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Reading Instructional Coach				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1, 2				
Funding Sources: Instructional Coaches - Title I - \$79,729				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will monitor progress of students in reading using a variety of data including formative assessments,		Formative		
anecdotal notes, teacher observation, oral responses and exit tickets.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will monitor progress of students toward state accountability measures in reading.			1/14/1	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Instructional Coaches, Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: Teacher - State Comp Ed - \$67,570				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Provide Reading STAAR tutorials including Saturday school for all 3rd and 4th grade students.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By providing individualized and prescriptive interventions, students will increase their STAAR scores.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Title I:				
2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: Tutorials - State Comp Ed - \$5,666.50				
Turing Sources. Tutorius State Comp Ed 45,000.50				

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 1: The percentage of 3rd grade students meeting grade level standard on the 2022 STAAR Reading was 36%. **Root Cause**: Intervention is not addressing each level of student need.

Problem Statement 2: 3rd Grade reading data on Reporting Category 3 was 52% passing on the 2022 STAAR assessment. **Root Cause**: Readers' workshop in grades K-2 lacks rigor and fidelity.

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 2: Increase the percent of 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Math from 28% to 38%.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Interim Assessments and STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide teachers with coaching cycles, instructional rounds, and lab sites in order to build teacher capacity.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By modeling and coaching best practices in math, teachers will provide quality math instruction resulting in an increase in reading scores.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Instructional Coach Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 4					
Funding Sources: Math Instructional Coach - Title I - \$78,733					
Funding Sources. Wath histochonal Coach - The 1 - \$76,733					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•	
Strategy 2: Teachers will monitor progress of students in math using a variety of data including formative assessments,		Formative		Summative	
anecdotal notes, teacher observation, oral responses and exit tickets	Oct	Dec	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will monitor progress of students toward state accountability measures in math.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Instructional Coaches, and Teachers					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 4					
Funding Sources: Teacher - State Comp Ed - \$6,487					

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Provide Math STAAR tutorials including Saturday school for all 3rd and 4th grade students.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By providing individualized and prescriptive interventions, students will increase their STAAR scores	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Teachers - State Comp Ed - \$5,666.50				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	ı	1

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 4: 3rd Grade math reporting category 3 was below 50% passing on the 2022 STAAR assessment. **Root Cause**: Guided Math lacks differentiation and productive struggle by students.

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 3: Increase the percentage of student success on 3rd Grade reading reporting category 3 (Understanding and Analyzing Informational Text) from 52% to 65%

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Interim Assessments and STARR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide teachers with high interest, leveled, non-fiction text for teaching.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase students' ability to understand and analyze informational text.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1, 2				
Funding Sources: Reading Subscriptions and Guided Reading Books - State Comp Ed - \$11,333				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will attend data PLC meetings to monitor the progress toward increasing the number of students		Formative		Summative
scoring proficient on this reporting category.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: As teachers monitor data and discuss successful instructional strategies, students will increase their ability to analyze and understand non-fiction text.	Ott	Dec	14141	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Teachers will be provided with classroom libraries and Guided Reading books from the non-fiction genre.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Instructing students using non fiction books and giving them access to those books during independent reading will increase their ability to understand and analyze this genre.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
-				
Funding Sources: Non fiction Books - Title I - \$4,500				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 1: The percentage of 3rd grade students meeting grade level standard on the 2022 STAAR Reading was 36%. **Root Cause**: Intervention is not addressing each level of student need.

Problem Statement 2: 3rd Grade reading data on Reporting Category 3 was 52% passing on the 2022 STAAR assessment. **Root Cause**: Readers' workshop in grades K-2 lacks rigor and fidelity.

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 4: Increase the number of students in K-2 reading on grade level from 68% to 75%.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: MOY and BOY literacy assessments and Mclass data.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Utilize progress monitoring and RTI system to meet students where they are and provide intervention to help	vention to help Forma			Summative
close the reading gap in early grades. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Number of students in grades K-2 reading on grade level will increase Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Interventionist Funding Sources: Bilingual Intervention Teachers - Title I - \$78,733, Bilingual Intervention Teacher - Title I - \$78,733, Intervention and Class Size Reduction Teachers - ESSER III - \$191,702	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details				
Strategy 2: Ensure teachers are implementing reader's workshop with fidelity.		Formative Sur		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: When implemented with fidelity, reader's workshop results in students having the skills needed to read unfamiliar text. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators and Instructional coaches	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Teachers will participate in ongoing professional learning on what to do when readers struggle.		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By receiving focused professional learning, teachers will be able to be prescriptive in their interventions with struggling readers, resulting in more students reading on or close to grade level.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

Goal 1: Student Achievement and Post-Secondary Success

CISD will prepare all students for graduation and post-secondary success by providing access to a high-quality curriculum that is TEKS-aligned, relevant, and delivered consistently using resources that engage students and challenge them in their learning at appropriate levels.

Performance Objective 5: Decrease the number of 3rd grade bilingual students scoring at the beginning level in reading from 31% to 20%.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide students the opportunity to practice the skills required on TELPAS through the use of Summit K12.	Formative			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By practicing the required skills, students will know what to expect and will be more successful.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principal, Bilingual/ESL teachers					
Title I: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - Funding Sources: Summit K12 Program - ESSER III - \$2,500					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Provide instructional materials including classroom libraries in both English and Spanish so that students have		Formative		Summative	
the opportunity to be bi-literate. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By accessing material in both languages, students begin to acquire the needed reading and writing skills in English without losing their literacy in their native language. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Funding Sources: Books and Materials - Title III - \$7,100	Oct	Dec	Mar	June	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue			

Goal 2: School Leadership and Fiscal Responsibility

CISD will foster the development of successful and dynamic leaders who effectively and efficiently manage their teams and fiscal resources.

Performance Objective 1: Austin Elementary will maintain effective fiscal management of resources and operations

Evaluation Data Sources: Budget alignment

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Principal will conduct a bi-weekly meeting with the campus secretary to ensure alignment of budget		Formative		Summative
expenditures with campus goals. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Budget and campus goal will be aligned	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 2: School Leadership and Fiscal Responsibility

CISD will foster the development of successful and dynamic leaders who effectively and efficiently manage their teams and fiscal resources.

Performance Objective 2: Increase the Overall OHI score from the 29th percentile to the 50th percentile

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: OHI

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement morale teams where teachers build relationships with people outside of their teams.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By working on fun activities with people other than their team, overall OHI will increase.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Committee chair				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 3: Recruitment, Development, and Retention of Staff

CISD will recruit, develop, and retain a highly-qualified staff to ensure effective instruction for all students.

Performance Objective 1: Austin Elementary will provide bi-monthly professional learning that correlates with campus goals in order to positively impact students in the classroom.

Evaluation Data Sources: Book study

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Austin Elementary will conduct differentiated book studies in order to meet the needs of different grade level	Formative			Summative
strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By reading and discussing a book that teachers identify as addressing a need, they will have buy in and it will impact instructional practices in the identified area. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: New teachers to CISD will participate in a coaching cycle.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will be able to learn and grow in CISD best practices. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon			

Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture

CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture conducive to learning by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the values of our community.

Performance Objective 1: Austin Elementary will increase opportunities for parent involvement in their child's academic and social-emotional success.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance at parent events and academic nights.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews							
Strategy 1: Austin will hire a Parent Liaison to facilitate the communication of parental involvement opportunities.	Formative Sum			itate the communication of parental involvement opportunities.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By having a Parent Liaison, communication about parent training, workshops and volunteer opportunities will be streamlined.Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Parent Liaison	Oct	Oct Dec Mar						
Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning Funding Sources: Parent Liaison - ESSER III - \$39,812								
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views					
Strategy 2: Austin will host and participate in feeder parent education nights to enhance parents ability to assist their	Formative			Summative				
children with different aspects of social and emotional situations. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By training parents on various topics that students have to face, we are strengthening our connection between school and home. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors Title I: 2.6, 4.1, 4.2 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Funding Sources: Family Engagement Communication, Healthy Snacks, Materials - Title I - \$3,648	Oct Dec Mar			Dec Mar June				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	•					

Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture

CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture conducive to learning by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the values of our community.

Performance Objective 2: Increase parent communication and parent support through frequent and varied communication methods.

Evaluation Data Sources: Increased communication will strengthen parents' ability to assist their student to be successful both academically and socially.

Strategy 1 Details Reviews			iews	
Strategy 1: Austin Elementary will send out weekly communication via online newsletter, as well as publish upcoming	Formative Sur			
events on social media and our school website calendar.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By communicating through a variety of mediums, we will reach more parents and have better participation and parent support.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: School Secretary, Web Master, Social Media Facilitator, and Grade Level				
Representatives.				
Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue	•	•

Goal 4: Safe and Collaborative School Culture

CISD will strive to cultivate a safe, positive, and collaborative school culture conducive to learning by creating and implementing specific behavioral expectations and management systems, developing responsive student support teams that focus on the needs of every student, and enhancing two-way communication and building partnerships with parents and the community in accordance with the education standards outlined by the State and the values of our community.

Performance Objective 3: Austin Elementary will ensure a safe and orderly environment that is conducive to learning.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: parent surveys, drill logs, Watch D.O.G.S. participation calendar

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Review safety procedures and conduct safety drills to ensure the effectiveness of the emergency operating		Formative			
procedures.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By implementing and practicing safety protocols, we will be prepared in the event of an emergency.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Safety Coordinator, Counselor, Teachers, Administrators.					
ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning					
Funding Sources: Nurse Aide - ESSER III - \$10,410					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: Implement Watch Dogs program to assist with school safety.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By having an increased presence of patrol, the school will be safer.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors					
ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

Goal 5: Effective Instruction

CISD will deliver meaningful instruction through objective-driven lessons and rigorous learning experiences using appropriate technology and instructional resources, and CISD will analyze data from ongoing formative assessments to foster the development of critical-thinking skills for all learners.

Performance Objective 1: The number of students meeting grade level standard on math instruction will increase by 15%.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: interim assessment, classroom assessments, and STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement the use of Dreambox in math classrooms K-4 to assist with overcoming learning loss in math.	Formative Summ			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By providing individualized and differentiated math instruction, students will be able to close the learning gaps associated with learning loss due to COVID.	Oct	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Assistant Principal				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 4				
Funding Sources: Dreambox - Title I - \$8,000				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 4: 3rd Grade math reporting category 3 was below 50% passing on the 2022 STAAR assessment. **Root Cause**: Guided Math lacks differentiation and productive struggle by students.

Goal 5: Effective Instruction

CISD will deliver meaningful instruction through objective-driven lessons and rigorous learning experiences using appropriate technology and instructional resources, and CISD will analyze data from ongoing formative assessments to foster the development of critical-thinking skills for all learners.

Performance Objective 2: Provide training, resources, and observation feedback to ensure best practices in reading and Math are being implemented with fidelity.

Evaluation Data Sources: Professional learning sign in sheets, observation data and lesson plans.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide teachers with reading resources including classroom libraries and phonics instructional material.	Formative Summa			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By providing books for kids to access as well as other instructional material, students will be able to progress as readers.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches, Administrators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1, 2				
Funding Sources: Instructional Resources - Title I - \$32,794				
Tulding Sources. Instructional resources Title 1 \$22,774				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide teachers with math instructional resources including manipulatives.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By giving teachers access to research based math resources, they will be able to address the learning loss in math.	Oct	Dec	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Instructional Coaches, Administrators.				
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: instructional materials and manipulatives - ESSER III - \$4,502				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Achievement

Problem Statement 1: The percentage of 3rd grade students meeting grade level standard on the 2022 STAAR Reading was 36%. **Root Cause**: Intervention is not addressing each level of student need.

Problem Statement 2: 3rd Grade reading data on Reporting Category 3 was 52% passing on the 2022 STAAR assessment. **Root Cause**: Readers' workshop in grades K-2 lacks rigor and fidelity.

Title I

1. Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA)

1.1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment

The campus conducted an annual comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school, analyzing the academic achievement of all students and subgroups of students.

2. Campus Improvement Plan

2.1: Campus Improvement Plan developed with appropriate stakeholders

The campus developed a CIP involving parents and other stakeholders such as teachers, the campus principal, paraprofessionals, and community stakeholders.

2.2: Regular monitoring and revision

The campus will regularly monitor the CIP and revise strategies based on our identified needs. Our core team will monitor the plan, including administrators, campus coaches, student support services coaches, RTI interventionists, and counselors. Once the Campus Improvement plan is complete, we will share it with staff and parents.

2.3: Available to parents and community in an understandable format and language

The campus ensures our Campus Improvement plan is publicly available to parents and the community (English and Spanish) on the CISD Website under Accountability and available upon request in hard copy.

2.4: Opportunities for all children to meet State standards

The campus implements reform strategies to address school needs, including opportunities for all students and student subgroups to exceed academic standards. Staff and administration closely monitor grades and assessments to ensure students are on target to meet State standards. Students who are at risk of missing their targeted benchmarks receive support and small group instruction, including:

- RTI Instruction
- In Class Small Group Instruction
- Pull Out Support
- Push In Support

2.5: Increased learning time and well-rounded education

The campus executes strategies to increase the quality and amount of learning time available to strengthen the academic program in the school and provides students with an enriched and accelerated curriculum.

2.6: Address needs of all students, particularly at-risk

The campus will address all students' needs, particularly those at risk of not meeting academic standards. We closely monitor our at-risk students and work to ensure we are meeting their educational needs through:

- RTI Instruction
- Small Group Instruction
- Guided Math
- In Class Support

3. Annual Evaluation

3.1: Annually evaluate the schoolwide plan

The campus conducted an annual comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school, analyzing the academic achievement of all students and subgroups of students. Data included academic, social, and emotional reviews by stakeholders, including teachers (general and special education), administration, student support, and families. In addition, the campus explored the intent, use of funds, and available school resources. During formative reviews, the core team will evaluate the Title I Schoolwide Plan annually and throughout the school year.

4. Parent and Family Engagement (PFE)

4.1: Develop and distribute Parent and Family Engagement Policy

With parents' input, the campus jointly developed a written Family Engagement Policy and School Family Student Compact. We also used our Parent Survey at the end of the year to make any needed changes to our Family Engagement Policy and School Family Student Compact.

4.2: Offer flexible number of parent involvement meetings

The campus offers various family engagement activities, including flexible times and days of the week. In addition, the campus sends home information regarding family engagement opportunities and required notices in a format and language that families can understand. (English and Spanish).

5. Targeted Assistance Schools Only	

Campus Funding Summary

			Title I		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	Instructional Coaches		\$79,729.00
1	2	1	Math Instructional Coach		\$78,733.00
1	3	3	Non fiction Books		\$4,500.00
1	4	1	Bilingual Intervention Teachers		\$78,733.00
1	4	1	Bilingual Intervention Teacher		\$78,733.00
4	1	2	Family Engagement Communication, Healthy Snacks, Materials		\$3,648.00
5	1	1	Dreambox		\$8,000.00
5	2	1	Instructional Resources		\$32,794.00
				Sub-Total	\$364,870.00
			Budgeted	Fund Source Amount	\$364,870.00
+/- Difference					\$0.00
			Title III		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	5	2	Books and Materials		\$7,100.00
				Sub-Tota	\$7,100.00
			Budge	eted Fund Source Amount	\$7,100.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
			State Comp Ed		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	2	Teacher		\$67,570.00
1	1	3	Tutorials		\$5,666.50
1	2	2	Teacher		\$6,487.00
1	2	3	Teachers		\$5,666.50
1	3	1	Reading Subscriptions and Guided Reading Books		\$11,333.00
			·	Sub-Total	\$96,723.00
			Budgete	ed Fund Source Amount	\$96,723.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00

ESSER III					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	4	1	Intervention and Class Size Reduction Teachers		\$191,702.00
1	5	1	Summit K12 Program		\$2,500.00
4	1	1	Parent Liaison		\$39,812.00
4	3	1	Nurse Aide		\$10,410.00
5	2	2	instructional materials and manipulatives		\$4,502.00
				Sub-Total	\$248,926.00
			Budg	eted Fund Source Amount	\$248,926.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
Grand Total Budgeted				Grand Total Budgeted	\$717,619.00
Grand Total Spent				\$717,619.00	
				+/- Difference	\$0.00