Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: COLLINS INT Campus ID: 170902050 District Name: CONROE ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

											Two or						
					African			American		Pacific		Special	Econ				
					S American					Islander	Races	Ed	Disadv	ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
STAAR Percent At o	r Above L	evel II	Satisfac	ctory Sta	ndard (201	6) or Pha	se-in 1	Level II (2	015)								
Grade 5																	
Reading	2016	80%	88%	98%	100%	99%	98%	*	100%	-	92%	88%	94%	90%	98%	99%	-
	2015	83%	91%	98%	100%	98%	98%	*	96%	-	100%	89%	100%	80%	98%	98%	-
Mathematics	2016	85%	91%	99%	100%	97%	100%	*	100%	-	100%	94%	97%	100%	99%	99%	-
	2015	75%	85%	97%	100%	97%	97%	*	96%	-	100%	83%	95%	80%	97%	97%	-
Science	2016	73%	86%	97%	100%	99%	97%	*	100%	-	92%	82%	94%	80%	96%	99%	-
	2015	69%	85%	94%	88%	94%	95%	*	93%	-	100%	83%	81%	80%	95%	94%	-
Grade 6																	
Reading	2016	68%	81%	96%	100%	93%	98%	*	96%	-	92%	82%	92%	63%	97%	96%	-
	2015	73%	86%	96%	100%	97%	96%	-	100%	*	100%	62%	95%	75%	98%	95%	-
Mathematics	2016	71%	84%	97%	89%	96%	98%	*	96%	-	100%	73%	88%	88%	97%	97%	-
	2015	72%	84%	97%	92%	98%	97%	-	100%	*	100%	62%	95%	88%	98%	95%	-
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016	74%	84%	98%	98%	97%	98%	100%	98%	-	95%	83%	93%	85%	97%	98%	-
·	2015	73%	84%	97%	96%	97%	96%	100%	97%	*	100%	78%	93%	80%	97%	96%	-
Reading	2016	72%	82%	97%	100%	96%	98%	100%	98%	-	92%	84%	93%	78%	97%	97%	_
ŭ	2015	74%	84%	97%	100%	98%	97%	*	98%	*	100%	77%	98%	78%	98%	96%	-
Mathematics	2016	75%	86%	98%	95%	97%	98%	100%	98%	-	100%	82%	93%	94%	98%	98%	-
	2015	73%	84%	97%	95%	98%	97%	*	98%	*	100%	74%	95%	83%	98%	96%	-
Science	2016	77%	88%	97%	100%	99%	97%	*	100%	_	92%	82%	94%	80%	96%	99%	_

					Africa				nerican		Pacific		Special					
	2015	State 75%	District 86%	Campus 94%	S Americ 88%	an Hispa 94%		hite I 5%	ndian *	Asian I	lslander -	Races 100%	Ed 83%	Disadv 81%	ELL 80%	Female 95%	Male 94%	Migrant -
STAAR Percent at Fina	al Level	II or A	bove															
All Grades All Subjects	2016	42%	58%	82%	78%	81%	/. Q:	3%	80%	90%	_	78%	54%	63%	54%	81%	84%	
All Subjects	2015	38%	55%	77%	71%				100%	78%	*	98%	43%	59%	43%	77%	77%	-
Reading	2016 2015	42% 40%	57% 56%	81% 80%	75% 80%		-	2% 1%	83%	89% 76%	- *	76% 100%	50% 42%	55% 63%	44% 39%	82% 81%	80% 78%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015	40% 36%	58% 54%	85% 77%	80% 60%			6% 7%	100%	93% 87%	- *	80% 100%	61% 39%	68% 61%	67% 56%	84% 77%	87% 78%	-
Science	2016 2015	44% 40%	62% 59%	78% 71%	82% 75%			7% 1%	*	82% 68%	-	77% 89%	47% 50%	70% 48%	50% 30%	74% 69%	82% 72%	-
STAAR Percent at Leve	el III Ad	vance	t															
All Grades All Subjects	2016	17%	29%	50%	37%	46%	6 5	0%	13%	60%	-	65%	18%	27%	13%	47%	52%	-
•	2015	14%	26%	46%	31%	40%	6 4	6%	33%	61%	*	58%	9%	24%	20%	46%	46%	-
Reading	2016 2015	16% 15%	27% 26%	54% 53%	45% 40%		-	6% 4%	0%	59% 61%	- *	64% 56%	13% 10%	33% 32%	11% 11%	54% 55%	55% 50%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015	17% 14%	30% 26%	54% 45%	40% 30%			4% 5%	33%	73% 70%	- *	68% 61%	21% 3%	23% 22%	17% 33%	50% 45%	57% 46%	-
Science	2016 2015	15% 14%	28% 27%	30% 34%	18% 13%			9% 4%	*	29% 46%	-	62% 56%	24% 17%	24% 14%	10% 10%	26% 32%	34% 35%	-
STAAR Participation (A	All Grad	les)																
All Tests		2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%		100% 100%	100% 100%				100% 96%	100% 100%		100% 100%			
Reading		2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%		100% 100%	100% 100%				100% 95%	100% 100%		100% 100%			
Mathematics		2016 2015	100% 99%	99% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100%	100% 100%				100% 95%	100% 100%		100% 100%		100 100	
Science		2016	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100	% -

2015	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	-
------	-----	-----	------	------	------	------	------	------	---	------	------	------	------	------	-----	---

STAAR Participation Results by Assessment Type for Students Served in Special Education Settings (All Grades)

Reading Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	98%	98%	100%	*	100%	100%	*	*	-	-	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	-
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	13%	18%	8%	*	11%	8%	*	*	-	-	8%	14%	*	0%	14%	-
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	73%	67%	71%	*	56%	76%	*	*	-	-	71%	71%	*	81%	64%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	11%	13%	21%	*	33%	16%	*	*	-	-	21%	14%	*	19%	23%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	2%	2%	0%	*	0%	0%	*	*	-	-	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	-
Mathematics Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	99%	99%	100%	*	100%	100%	*	*	-	-	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	-
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	12%	17%	8%	*	11%	8%	*	*	-	-	8%	14%	*	0%	14%	-
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	75%	69%	71%	*	56%	76%	*	*	-	-	71%	71%	*	81%	64%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	13%	21%	*	33%	16%	*	*	-	-	21%	14%	*	19%	23%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	1%	0%	*	0%	0%	*	*	-	-	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	-

^{*&#}x27; Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

								Two or			ELL (Current	t			Percent of Eligible
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	&	ELL	Total	Total	Measures
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	+	Met	Eligible	Met
Performance Status - Sta	ite														
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Υ		Υ	Υ		Υ			Υ	Υ			6	6	100
Mathematics	Υ		Υ	Υ		Υ			Υ	Υ			6	6	100

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

^{&#}x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

	A.II	African			A		Da sidia	Two or	F		ELL (Curren		T-1-1	Taral	Percent of Eligible
	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More Races	Econ Disady	Special Ed	& Monitored)		Total Met	Total Eligible	Measure: Met
Writing													0	0	
Science	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ				4	4	100
Social Studies													0	0	
Total													16	16	100
Performance Status - Fe	ederal														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	Υ		Υ	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				n/a			
Mathematics	Υ		Υ	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ		Υ	Υ		Υ		Υ	Υ	Υ			7	7	100
Mathematics	Ý		Ý	Ϋ́		Ϋ́		Ϋ́	Ϋ́	Ϋ́			7	7	100
Total			•	•		•		•	•	•			14	14	100
Reason Code *** Total	nits on Alter	nativo Asso	eemante										0	0	
District: Met Federal Lin Reading	nits on Alteri	native Asse	ssments												
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap	n/a														
imit Mada anatia															
Mathematics	1-														
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap	n/a														
<u>imit</u>															
Total															
Overall Total													30	30	100
- Participation uses E	LL (Current	t), Graduati	ion uses El	_L (Ever	r HS)										
** Federal Graduation	Rate Reason	on Codes:		-	-										
a = Graduati					c = Safe H	Harbor T	arget of a	10% ded	crease in	difference	e from the price	r yea	r rate a	nd the Go	oal
b = Four-yea			get of 88%		d = Five-ye						•	-			
Blank cells above repre															
Siarik Celis above rebre	SOCIIL OLUUCI	it aloub iiit	นเบลเบเจ แเล	il uo noi		III III I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	3126 (1116)	ıa.							

								Two or			ELL	
	All Students	African American	Hienania	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	(Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Performance Rates	Students	American	пізрапіс	Wille	iliulali	ASIAII	isianuei	Naces	Disauv	Eu	wontorea)	(Current)
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	665	18	135	442	6	41	_	23	50	32	27	n/a
Standard				–	-							
Total Tests	680	18	141	449	6	42	-	24	53	37	29	12
% at Level II Satisfactory	98%	100%	96%	98%	100%	98%	_	96%	94%	86%	93%	n/a
Standard	22,7											
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	667	17	136	443	6	41	-	24	49	31	28	n/a
Standard									_			
Total Tests	680	18	141	449	6	42	_	24	53	37	29	12
% at Level II Satisfactory	98%	94%	96%	99%	100%	98%	_	100%	92%	84%	97%	n/a
Standard	22,7											
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	312	**	71	199	*	17	-	12	27	14	13	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	318	**	71	205	*	17	_	12	28	16	14	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	98%	100%	100%	97%	*	100%	_	100%	96%	88%	93%	n/a
Standard	0070	.0070	.0070	0.70		.0070		.0070	0070	0070	3373	, ۵
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessments												
Number Participating	703	20	143	465	6	44	-	25	60	38	n/a	18
Total Students	704	20	143	466	6	44	-	25	60	38	n/a	18
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Assessm												
Number Participating	703	20	143	465	6	44	-	25	60	38	n/a	18
Total Students	704	20	143	466	6	44	-	25	60	38	n/a	18
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
St	tudents	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
 Indicates there are no students 	in the gr	oup.										
n/a Indicates the student group is no	ot applica	able to Syst	em Safegua	rds.								

								Two or				
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gradu	uation Rate (G	r 9-12): Clas	s of 2015									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gradu	uation Rate (G	r 9-12): Clas	s of 2014									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rate	(Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2014										
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a [']

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

Mathematics
Number Proficient

Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math

performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: No Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Identification: No Focus School Reason: N/A

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year.ldentifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No **High Progress School:** No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.6%	1.0%
Bachelors	27.0	73.0%	73.5%	74.7%
Masters	10.0	27.0%	25.0%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.9%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Low Poverty

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers		33	3	36
Total Number of Classes		93	5	98
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	93	5	98
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Elem	secondary
	(PK-6)	(7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number of Teachers		
	General Education	Special Education	
Highly Qualified	0	0	
Not Highly Qualified	0	0	

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
Mathe		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
l		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
Math		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	72
		Limited English Proficient	92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	80
		Limited English Proficient	95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment