Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: YORK J H Campus ID: 170902049

District Name: CONROE ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

											Two or						
		stato D	Vietrio	Campue	African American	Lienania		American		Pacific		Special Ed		ELL	Fomalo	Malo	Migrant
STAAR Percent A				-		-					Naces	Lu	Disauv		remaie	Wale	Wigrant
Grade 7					-	-	-										
Reading	2016 6	30%	80%	83%	66%	80%	86%	*	96%	*	91%	41%	67%	*	88%	78%	
reading	2015 7		82%	85%	74%	85%	88%	*	70%	-	87%	28%	71%	36%	88%	82%	-
Mathematics	2016 6	68%	74%	74%	54%	69%	80%	*	100%	*	73%	40%	59%	42%	76%	73%	-
	2015 6	68%	76%	74%	63%	65%	80%	*	83%	-	67%	30%	59%	36%	73%	74%	-
Writing	2016 6	58%	78%	77%	63%	74%	81%	*	75%	*	83%	30%	59%	*	86%	69%	-
-	2015 6	69%	78%	78%	78%	73%	79%	*	90%	-	78%	29%	65%	50%	83%	73%	-
Grade 8																	
Reading	2016 8	35%	92%	95%	91%	94%	96%	*	100%	*	96%	56%	91%	69%	96%	94%	-
	2015 8	34%	91%	93%	86%	91%	95%	-	100%	*	85%	35%	84%	80%	94%	92%	-
Mathematics	2016 8	30%	92%	95%	93%	92%	97%	*	100%	*	88%	66%	90%	77%	97%	92%	-
	2015 7		87%	92%	95%	89%	92%	-	100%	*	95%	39%	81%	71%	94%	90%	-
Science	2016 7	73%	85%	87%	74%	86%	90%	*	91%	*	91%	41%	78%	*	88%	87%	-
	2015 6	67%	80%	84%	80%	76%	88%	-	100%	*	75%	27%	71%	67%	85%	84%	-
Social Studies	2016 6	52%	77%	77%	70%	75%	81%	*	73%	*	74%	30%	66%	*	72%	82%	-
	2015 6	61%	76%	78%	70%	72%	84%	-	94%	*	42%	23%	66%	53%	77%	79%	-
End of Course																	
Algebra I	2016 7	76%	85%	1 00 %	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-	100%	*	100%	-	100%	100%	-
	2015 7	77%	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-	*	*	100%	*	100%	100%	-
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016 7	74%	84%	85%	75%	83%	88%	71%	91%	71%	87%	43%	75%	44%	87%	83%	-
	2015 7	73%	84%	84%	79%	80%	87%	*	94%	94%	79%	30%	71%	57%	86%	83%	-
Reading	2016 7	72%	82%	89%	79%	87%	91%	*	97%	83%	93%	48%	81%	52%	92%	86%	-
	2015 7	74%	84%	89%	79%	88%	91%	*	89%	*	86%	31%	77%	59%	91%	87%	-
Mathematics	2016 7	75%	86%	88%	79%	85%	91%	*	100%	83%	87%	54%	79%	60%	90%	87%	-
	2015 7	73%	84%	86%	82%	81%	89%	*	96%	*	86%	35%	72%	55%	87%	85%	-
Writing	2016 6	68%	79%	77%	63%	74%	81%	*	75%	*	83%	30%	59%	*	86%	69%	-
	2015 6	68%	78%	78%	78%	73%	79%	*	90%	-	78%	29%	65%	50%	83%	73%	-
Science	2016 7	77%	88%	87%	74%	86%	90%	*	91%	*	91%	41%	78%	*	88%	87%	-
	2015 7	75%	86%	84%	80%	76%	88%	-	100%	*	75%	27%	71%	67%	85%	84%	-
Social Studies	2016 7	76%	86%	77%	70%	75%	81%	*	73%	*	74%	30%	66%	*	72%	82%	-
	2015 7	74%	85%	78%	70%	72%	84%	-	94%	*	42%	23%	66%	53%	77%	79%	-

 $https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/...t=2016\% 2 Ffrc\% 2 Freport_card.sas \& ptype=H \& level=campus \& search=campname \& namenum=york \& campus=170902049 [2/14/2017 \ 8:07:23 \ AM]$

STAAR Percent at Final Level II or Above

All Grades	0040 400/	500/	500/	400/	500/	CO 1/	4.40/	700/	500/	050/	040/	0.00/	440/	500/	500/	
All Subjects	2016 42% 2015 38%	58% 55%	56% 48%	42% 39%	50% 42%	60% 53%	14% *	72% 69%	52% 44%	65% 43%	21% 12%	36% 30%	11% 24%	59% 51%	52% 46%	-
Reading	2016 42%	57%	59%	44%	53%	64%	*	60%	50%	65%	25%	38%	8%	64%	53%	-
	2015 40%	56%	53%	42%	49%	57%	*	56%	*	56%	12%	34%	14%	56%	50%	-
Mathematics	2016 40%	58%	58%	46%	50%	62%	*	89%	67%	63%	20%	37%	24%	59%	56%	-
	2015 36%	54%	53%	47%	46%	57%	*	81%	*	46%	12%	35%	34%	55%	52%	-
Writing	2016 39%	52%	51%	25%	45%	56%	*	75%	*	61%	16%	29%	*	63%	39%	-
	2015 31%	43%	38%	36%	33%	40%	*	50%	-	39%	11%	19%	14%	48%	28%	-
Science	2016 44%	62%	61%	49%	56%	65%	*	82%	*	74%	24%	45%	*	61%	61%	-
	2015 40%	59%	57%	44%	41%	65%	-	88%	*	25%	14%	38%	33%	57%	57%	-
Social Studies		61%	45%	41%	41%	47%	*	36%	*	61%	19%	29%	*	43%	46%	-
	2015 41%	57%	32%	12%	24%	38%	-	65%	*	25%	14%	15%	20%	30%	33%	-
STAAR Percent a	at Level III A	dvanced	1													
All Grades																
All Subjects	2016 17%	29%	26%	22%	25%	27%	0%	37%	10%	34%	6%	13%	1%	29%	23%	-
	2015 14%	26%	20%	13%	15%	22%	*	38%	13%	23%	1%	9%	7%	21%	19%	-
Reading	2016 16%	27%	27%	23%	25%	29%	*	29%	17%	33%	7%	11%	0%	33%	22%	-
	2015 15%	26%	27%	21%	23%	29%	*	33%	*	39%	2%	13%	3%	30%	24%	-
Mathematics	2016 17%	30%	28%	20%	28%	28%	*	49%	17% *	39%	7%	15%	4%	30%	27%	-
	2015 14%	26%	19%	12%	16%	21%	*	44%		17%	2%	8%	14%	19%	19%	-
Writing	2016 14%	23%	17%	9%	13%	19%	*	29%	*	17%	3%	6%	*	23%	11%	-
	2015 8%	13%	8%	5%	6%	9%	*	20%	-	13%	0%	3%	0%	12%	5%	-
Science	2016 15%	28%	31%	30%	31%	30%	*	55%	*	35%	5%	19%	*	30%	31%	-
	2015 14%	27%	27%	14%	17%	33%	-	53%	*	17%	0%	13%	7%	23%	31%	-
Social Studies		37%	26%	25%	25%	26%	*	27%	*	39%	5%	14%	*	27%	25%	-
	2015 18%	34%	12%	4%	7%	15%	-	29%	*	17%	0%	6%	7%	10%	14%	-

STAAR Participation (All Grades)

All Tests	2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	99% 99%	99% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	-
Reading	2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	99% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015	100% 99%	99% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	-							
Writing	2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%	99% 99%	98% 97%	100% 100%	99% 99%	* 100%	100% 100%	* -	100% 100%	100% 97%	100% 98%	100% 100%	100% 98%	99% 100%	-
Science	2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	99% 100%	*	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	97% 100%	98% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-
Social Studies	2016 2015	98% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	99% 100%	* -	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	97% 100%	98% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-

STAAR Participation Results by Assessment Type for Students Served in Special Education Settings (All Grades)

Reading Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	98%	98%	99%	100%	100%	97%	-	*	*	100%	99%	95%	*	100%	98%	-
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	13%	18%	15%	8%	6%	18%	-	*	*	20%	15%	10%	*	16%	14%	-
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	73%	67%	72%	77%	94%	63%	-	*	*	60%	72%	70%	*	68%	73%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	11%	13%	12%	15%	0%	16%	-	*	*	20%	12%	15%	*	16%	10%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	3%	-	*	*	0%	1%	5%	*	0%	2%	-
Mathematics Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	*	*	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	-
	2016	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	*	*	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	-
% of Participants	2016 2016	99% 12%	99% 17%	100% 15%	100% 8%	100% 6%	100% 18%	-	*	*	100% 20%	100% 15%	100% 15%	*	100% 12%	100% 16%	-
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No				,.				-	*	*	,.						-
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No Accommodations				,.				-	* *	* *	,.						-
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With	2016	12%	17%	15%	8%	6%	18%	- - -	* * *	* * *	20%	15%	15%	*	12%	16%	- - -

'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

															Percent of
								Two or			ELL				Eligible
	All	African			American		Pacific			Specia	I (Current &	ELL	Total	Total	-
	Student	sAmericar	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander			-	Monitored			Eligible	
Performance Status - State														J	
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y		Y	Y	Ν			7	8	88
Mathematics	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y		Y	Y	Ν			7	8	88
Writing	Y	Y	Y	Y					Y	Ν			5	6	83
Science	Y	Y	Y	Y					Y	Ν			5	6	83
Social Studies	Y	Y	Y	Y					Y	Ν			5	6	83
Total													29	34	85
Performance Status - Feder	ral														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	Y	Ν	Y	Y	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν			n/a			
Mathematics	Y	Ν	Y	Y	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν			n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y		Y	Y	Y		Υ	9	9	100
Mathematics	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y		Y	Y	Y		Υ	9	9	100
Total													18	18	100
Federal Graduation Status	(Target: S	ee Reason	Codes)												
Graduation Target Met													0	0	

Reason Code ***

Total

District: Met Federal Limits Reading	on Alternative Assessments
Alternate 1%	n/a
Number Proficient	n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a
Mathematics	
Alternate 1%	n/a
Number Proficient	n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a
Total	
• · · · ·	

Overall Total

47 52 90

+ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

*** Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goalb = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88%d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Performance Rates												
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	967	95	242	552	*	30	**	41	158	33	20	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	1,078	119	270	606	*	31	**	44	192	67	29	19
% at Level II Satisfactory	90%	80%	90%	91%	*	97%	83%	93%	82%	49%	69%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	965	94	237	557	*	31	**	40	158	37	20	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	1,081	119	271	608	*	31	**	44	193	68	29	19
% at Level II Satisfactory	89%	79%	87%	92%	*	100%	83%	91%	82%	54%	69%	n/a
Standard												
Writing					*						_	,
# at Level II Satisfactory	418	33	94	254	×	16	*	18	49	11	7	n/a
Standard												*
Total Tests	537	53	126	311	*	22	*	21	82	36	16	
% at Level II Satisfactory	78%	62%	75%	82%	*	73%	*	86%	60%	31%	44%	n/a
Standard												
Science	470	47	400	070	*	•	*	04	00	40	0	
# at Level II Satisfactory	478	47	129	270		9	~	21	89	13	9	n/a
Standard	= 40				*		*	~~			40	*
Total Tests	540	65	144	295		9	*	23	110	31	13	
% at Level II Satisfactory	89%	72%	90%	92%	^	100%	^	91%	81%	42%	69%	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies # at Level II Satisfactory	424	45	112	241	*	7	*	17	75	10	6	n/a
Standard	424	40	112	241		1		17	75	10	0	n/a
Total Tests	E40	65	111	295	*	9	*	23	110	24	10	*
	540		144 78%	295 82%	*	9 78%	*	23 74%	110 68%	31 32%	13 46%	n / n
% at Level II Satisfactory Standard	79%	69%	1070	02%		10%		74%	00%	32%	40%	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assess	ments											
Number Participating	1,129	125	287	628	*	35	**	46	202	72	n/a	25
Total Students	1,132	125	287	631	*	35	**	46	203	73	n/a	25
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Ass		,	,	,0		,	,	,	,	0070		
Number Participating	1,133	126	288	630	*	35	**	46	203	73	n/a	25
Total Students	1,134	126	289	630	*	35	**	46	203	73	n/a	25
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%
		/ -	/ -									

 $https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/...t=2016\% 2 F frc\% 2 F report_card.sas \& ptype=H \& level=campus \& search=campname \& namenum=york \& campus=170902049 [2/14/2017 \ 8:07:23 \ AM]$

0 0

- * Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- ** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or				
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	duation Rat	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	15								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	duation Rat	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rat	te (Gr 9-12)	: Class of 2	014									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading	
Number Proficient	n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a
Mathematics	
Number Proficient	n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a

* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports.Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification:Priority School Reason: N/ANoFocus School Reason: N/AFocus School Identification:No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year.Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.6%	1.0%
Bachelors	44.0	69.1%	73.5%	74.7%
Masters	17.7	27.8%	25.0%	23.6%
Doctorate	2.0	3.1%	0.9%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Low Poverty

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Teachers		45	5	50
Total Number of Classes		286	11	297
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	286	11	297
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of 1	eachers
	Elem	secondary
	(PK-6)	(7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number of Teachers		
	General Education	Special Education	
Highly Qualified	3	0	
Not Highly Qualified	0	0	

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2

	Hispanic	35	65	19	1
	White	14	86	43	4
	Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
	English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
	National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Asian	5	95	67	25
	Black	43	57	16	2
	Hispanic	31	69	23	4
	White	12	88	48	12
	Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
	English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
	National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade Grade 4	Subject Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment